# KODIAK/ALEUTIANS SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL PUBLIC MEETING

September 26, 1997 City Library Room Cold Bay, Alaska

### VOLUME II

### COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Mark Olsen, Chairman Alfred B. Cratty, Jr. Gilda M. Shellikoff Melvin Smith Vincent M. Tutiakoff Paul Gunderson Della Trumble

## Regional Council Coordinator:

Cliff Edenshaw

#### PROCEEDINGS

2

1

(On record)

5

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Good morning, good morning. We'll try that again, good morning.

7

MR. BRELSFORD: Good morning Mr. Chairman.

9 10

IN UNISON: Good morning, Mr. Chairman.

11 12

12 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I guess we'll go ahead and get started 13 here this morning even with the few we got here. It is 8:00 14 o'clock, in fact, after that. I guess we'll open right up here 15 with Taylor giving us an update on the fisheries.

16 17

MR. BRELSFORD: Good morning, Mr. Chairman. This
update on the proposed modification of Federal fisheries
management, I have some overheads. These follow, very closely
the text, a little two or three page write-up and I'm going to
distribute some copies of this, and to Arnie and the fellows.

22 23

Basically there are four points I'd like to touch base 24 on. One is, what's happened in the past year. Secondly, look 25 at this proposed rule, which would be the first step in 26 actually making binding regulations, actual Federal 27 regulations. The third thing is, what's the impact on the 28 Regional Councils. And the fourth thing would be the steps 29 that would occur after October 1st. We had some discussion 30 yesterday morning, kind of wondering what happens on the 1st of 31 October really, and I want to come back and address that as the 32 final item in this presentation.

33

34 So during the past year, I think a lot of this is kind 35 of common knowledge, but the Ninth Circuit Court decision in 36 the Katie John case is good law. It was taken up to the 37 Supreme Court for a possible appeal and the Supreme Court 38 turned that down and said the Ninth Circuit decision is good 39 binding judicial decision. But at the same time the Congress 40 passed what was called a moratorium prohibiting the Federal 41 government from spending money to implement the Katie John 42 decision, so it's been kind of a tug-of-war between the two 43 branches of government. We have a court that says, go ahead 44 and we have Congress that says, stand still. Now, that 45 moratorium ends on September 30th, so that's why this October 46 1st deadline has been such a big deal. Without the moratorium, 47 the court order is effective, we would go ahead under the 48 court's guidance when the moratorium ends or if this moratorium 49 is, in fact, lifted.

10

16 17

39 40

We have done some of the planning steps during the past year developing some proposed regulations or the preliminary 3 regulations and we've had discussions with the Regional Council 4 on the draft of those proposed rules. I remember in Kodiak, I sat with Gilda and Melvin, I guess, and we talked quite a bit about what the C&T's looked like in the Unimak wide area and So I know some of you will remember that draft version of the proposed rule that was out for discussion last spring.

The other building block or planning step that is now 11 behind us is the environmental assessment or this National 12 Environmental Protection Act requires review for every 13 significant Federal thing. The document they prepare for that 14 is called an environmental assessment, and that has also now 15 been concluded.

Probably the most significant thing going on is really 18 the structure of those proposed Federal fisheries regulations, 19 so I want to kind of summarize these and these correspond to 20 the bulleted points in the text. The first item in this 21 proposed rule, the draft regulations, are identifications of 22 the waters affected by the Katie John decision, and there's a 23 couple of key points for everybody to keep in mind. 24 water, not marine waters. The Katie John decision did not 25 extend to coastal waters, it's only inland fresh water systems. 26 The legal thing is navigable waters. And the other key is it's 27 navigable waters or fresh waters where they're in or beside 28 conservation units. So it's only in a refuge or if it's the 29 boundary of the refuge, then it still counts, but it's waters 30 that directly associate or directly connected to a Federal 31 conservation unit. So in this region, that's going to include 32 the Kodiak Refuge and the Izembek Refuge. There are some areas 33 affected by the Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge, but 34 the big picture is it's limited in scope. It is not, you know, 35 wide sweeping coastal waters that would come under Federal 36 jurisdiction. That's kind of a key thing that everybody 37 focuses on first when they will read these proposed 38 regulations.

There's a second thing having to do with the areas 41 effected. Under these regulations, Federal subsistence regs 42 would apply on selected but not yet conveyed lands so that the 43 ANCSA corporations selections, a lot of those are still kind of 44 going through all the hoops before final conveyance. At the 45 present time those selected lands are not under Federal 46 jurisdiction. If this rule actually goes all the way through 47 to conclusion, then those lands would come under the Federal 48 Subsistence Program. There may be some areas on Kodiak or 49 elsewhere where that's significant. I'm not real sure on the 50 status of land conveyances in the Aleut and Koniag regions.

But this is a change in the regulations from what we've done before.

3

The third thing has been a real hot button. This is 5 what's been referred to by people as extraterritoriality. And 6 this is a legal authority, where the Secretary of Interior and 7 Agriculture can reach off of Federal lands if some activity off 8 those lands is causing a failure of subsistence on the Federal The authority starts with some breakdown on the Federal 10 lands, the Federal lands and waters directly under the 11 Secretary's jurisdiction. If something happening upstream or 12 earlier in the migration pathway is causing failure on those 13 Federal lands, then the Secretaries have an existing law, an 14 existing authority to regulate to diminish or restrict that 15 activity that's causing the failure on Federal lands. So they 16 can't go make changes in Area M. What they have to do is 17 evaluate -- sorry, it would be a failure on Federal public 18 lands or waters that would provide the basis for Secretarial 19 actions off of the Federal waters.

20 21

21 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Excuse me if I can one second, how, in 22 fact, would that effect when we do not have a subsistence 23 management plan, how would that be identified?

2425

MR. BRELSFORD: I think there's probably two points to 26 emphasize. And one is it's entirely fact specific, there has 27 to be a demonstration that the failure on Federal lands is 28 caused by some activity off of Federal lands. There's going to 29 be a pretty significant scientific evaluation before this kind 30 of reaching out of Federal lands would ever occur. The other 31 point to make is that it is very rare. It's done very 32 hesitantly because it's considered sort of a national matter 33 when the Secretary of Interior gets in the face of the State --34 of any state. They don't do it lightly, they do it on the 35 basis of a pretty strong fact foundation, and this authority, 36 as it says, is exercised only by the Secretary. The Federal 37 Subsistence Board will not have jurisdiction, will not have 38 authority to take this kind of action on their own. They will 39 receive complaints from the public if there's problems. 40 Board acts as kind of the information conduit on behalf of the 41 Secretary, but the Federal Subsistence Board cannot act in this 42 capacity itself. They can only forward information to the 43 Secretary of Interior.

44

So again, it's very fact specific. It requires a 46 demonstration that stands up to scientific scrutiny, and it's 47 considered a very major national matter of relationships 48 between the Secretaries and the State government. So I think 49 people need to understand that it's not the kind of thing 50 that's going to be done lightly or on somebody's say so alone

1 or quickly. It will be a very kind of demanding process to ever come into effect.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Then how -- failure must be defined 5 then? I mean that's the key word, I guess, failure. What --6 it seems like failure then, it's already happened. That's the language I hear.

7 8

MR. BRELSFORD: I think to some extent because of the 10 cautions around this, the circumstances have to be fairly 11 extreme, which is what you're saying, but it's.....

12 13

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Certainly, like the Yukon issue? I 14 mean when it was closed to subsistence, is that considered 15 failure then?

16 17

MR. BRELSFORD: The regs here don't provide thresholds 18 or additional definitions. I think they have to take it out of 19 case law, out of examples where this kind of authority's been 20 exercised in the past. So I -- I mean as a layman, it would 21 seem to me that some of the chum run failures and so on would 22 qualify as failures. What the causes would be is again going 23 to be subject to scientific investigation. I guess for our 24 purposes right now, rather than, you know, kind of speculate 25 about specific cases and so on, I think it's more important to 26 kind of keep in mind that this is -- it's an existing law, an 27 existing authority, it's exercised by the Secretary, it's very 28 fact and science dependent.

29 30

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Just trying to get a picture.

31 32

MR. BRELSFORD: Yeah. I think the short answer is, I'm 33 not sure I know enough to tell you how it would apply in the 34 Western Alaska chum run failures and so on. I'm not sure all 35 of the criteria and the particulars are worked out in that 36 fashion.

37 38

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: It seems to me then that that would be 39 the first order is to define those.

40

41 MR. BRELSFORD: Well, I -- as I say the process as the 42 Board has laid it out is that the Board would receive a 43 complaint or....

44 45

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Snivel.

46

47 MR. BRELSFORD: No, that the Councils, the communities 48 could come before the Board and say this is a failure to 49 provide for subsistence uses on the Federal lands and Federal 50 waters and this is the cause. That cause would have to be

```
0152
  evaluated, there would have to be a scientific validation of
  that causal relationship, and then the Board forwards that
  information to the Secretary with a recommendation for action.
4
5
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I'm just trying to think.....
6
7
           MR. GUNDERSON: Well, that's kind of spooky to me.
8
9
           MR. CRATTY: So basically they've only got jurisdiction
10 over anywhere where the Federal lands is, any of the outfalling
11 streams?
12
13
           MR. BRELSFORD:
                           Fresh waters, right.
14
15
           MR. CRATTY: Fresh water.
16
17
           MR. BRELSFORD: The navigable waters.
18
19
           MR. CRATTY: They don't have jurisdiction over the
20 ocean then?
21
22
           MR. BRELSFORD: Not direct, that's correct.
23
24
           MR. CRATTY: Yeah.
25
26
           MR. GUNDERSON:
                           Indirect, you just said that they do.
27
28
           MR. BRELSFORD:
                          Yeah.
29
           MR. CRATTY: Well, they could, but it goes through a
30
31 big process.
32
33
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: You'd have to have a total failure
34 in....
35
36
           MR. CRATTY:
                        Yeah, you....
37
38
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: .....the fisheries for them.....
39
40
           MR. CRATTY: .....they can't.....
41
42
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: .....to even implement subsistence law.
43
44
           MR. CRATTY: Like he said, it's got.....
45
46
           MR. GUNDERSON: Well, we've got that....
47
48
           MR. CRATTY: .....to be really hard.
49
50
           MR. GUNDERSON: ....battle going on through the State
```

0153 and we've had it go on for years. 3 MR. MACK: And navigable waters, that there..... 4 5 MR. GUNDERSON: Yeah. 6 7 MR. MACK: .....is going to be the question there. 8 line, is it navigable waters? 9 10 MR. BRELSFORD: Right. 11 12 MR. MACK: Now, that there is my question, where does 13 that put us in? You know, because the way the fisheries is 14 running now, we're standing at a rate of loss and if we turned 15 around, between the fight that we're going with Bristol Bay and 16 up north and AYK area and we turn around the navigable into 17 subsistence, then the Feds can just totally shut us down if 18 they go on the navigable water system..... 19 20 MR. TUTIAKOFF: They could shut us -- the State will 21 shut you down before the Federal government. 22 23 MR. MACK: No, no. If the Federal government takes 24 over, the Federal government will have jurisdiction. 25 26 MR. TUTIAKOFF: No. 27 28 MR. BRELSFORD: No, let me really highlight..... 29 30 MR. TUTIAKOFF: They won't have that. 31 32 MR. CRATTY: No. 33 34 .....and address this face on. That's MR. BRELSFORD: 35 kind of an overstatement. 36 37 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Over reaction. 38 39 MR. BRELSFORD: The waters that come under the Katie 40 John case are navigable -- fresh water systems, not marine 41 waters and only inside of or immediately adjacent to Federal 42 conservation lands, like the refuge on Kodiak Island or here in 43 the Izembek area. It's fresh waters in those conservation 44 units that come under the Katie John decision. The offshore 45 systems are not effected, they remain under State jurisdiction 46 out to the three mile limit and so on. 47 48 The problem that you're asking about and what lots of 49 people in the Aleut and many regions have asked about is this 50 legal doctrine called, extraterritoriality, and I'm trying to

0154 say that that's existing law. There's nothing new..... 3 MR. TUTIAKOFF: It's already in place. 4 5 MR. BRELSFORD: .....coming at you in these regulations. What we're trying to emphasize to people is it is 7 fact dependent that the same scientific questions about stock 8 separations, about streams of origin, all that same kind of 9 stuff has to be documented to a scientific level before the 10 Federal government is going to reach off of Federal lands or 11 Federal waters and intervene. 12 13 So I think Al said it about right, that it's not going 14 to be quick, it's going to require a lot of documentation. 15 think we want to be a little measured about the fear factor 16 here, it is real. The doctrine of extraterritoriality is a 17 long standing legal tool for the Federal government. It is 18 very, very rarely used. It's real fact specific. So I guess I 19 kind of want, you know, the benefit of this discussion to be a 20 realism, that people go away knowing what the realities are 21 here, and not going to have it overblown or you know, not 22 ignore the process. 23 24 MS. TRUMBLE: Basically what they're saying is they'll 25 retain Secretarial authority and extend jurisdiction on public 26 lands waters to protect subsistence on public land and water is 27 already in place; it's already a law. 28 29 MR. TUTIAKOFF: It's already in law. 30 31 MS. TRUMBLE: So what -- and I guess just understanding 32 our fear because the people in our region constantly..... 33 34 MR. BRELSFORD: Have been through..... 35 36 MS. TRUMBLE: .....are fighting..... 37 38 MR. BRELSFORD: Yeah, 20 years. 39 40 MS. TRUMBLE: .....for years. And where our concern 41 is people up north are using this as a tool to more get what 42 they've been trying to for many years. 43 44 MR. BRELSFORD: Well, I think.... 45 46 MS. TRUMBLE: And I think it's a real fear. 47 48 MR. BRELSFORD: I think part of what we're trying to do 49 in this set of meetings is to be a little more specific and 50 kind of allay some of the fears or overblown prospect to

perceptions about this. It's not new law, it's existing law. It's not going to happen overnight. It still requires the fact basis, like I'm saying. So some folks up north are going to be a little disappointed, they're going to say, well, what's the good of Federal jurisdiction if we're not going to be able to make some sweeping changes....

MS. TRUMBLE: And they use that though.

MR. BRELSFORD: ....in....

MS. TRUMBLE: They state it.

MR. HUFF: The only reason we're still fishing yet is 15 all the scientific facts prove that, you know, we're harming 16 any one stock anyways up there. It's the only reason we're 17 still fishing. But we're afraid that with 20,000 Eskimos and 18 Indians up there, 50,000 screaming to shut us down, that the 19 Feds are going to do it whether the facts are there or not.

MR. BRELSFORD: What I'm trying to suggest that in the 22 history of this extraterritoriality, it is very rarely used and 23 only with pretty ironclad scientific basis. That's just how it 24 has been. And I think from the discussions we've -- the 25 briefings we've had internally, we've been asked to really 26 emphasize to people this time out, that like I said, it's not 27 new law, it's existing, but it's fact dependent and that it 28 remains at the Secretarial level. It's not going to be handed 29 down, delegated down to the Board, to the Federal Subsistence 30 Board. It's still a national matter any time the Secretary's 31 reaching off of Federal lands.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: I think we're talking -- Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Vince.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: I think we're talking two separate issues and we're combining them to relate to subsistence. They're talking about a commercial industry, and it's not regulated by this body or the Federal government, and does not propose to take over that. The State of Alaska controls the commercial fisheries. We, as a subsistence Federal Council here, all we're here to do is if the subsistence user does not get his share or their share of subsistence, then it's effected to -- can be effected by the commercial fishery, that's -- you know, you're not talking probably what, two or 3,000 fish per community, that's not going to break anybody. I mean you're talking thousands and thousands of fish when you're talking about a commercial fishery. We won't be....

```
0156
1
           MR. MACK: You got to.....
2
3
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: .....extending down that.....
4
5
           MR. MACK: .....you got to stop and think, you're not
6
   talking a thousand fish, they're allowed 1,500 fish for their
7
   dogs alone.
8
9
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Well, I mean....
10
11
           MR. MACK:
                      So get your....
12
13
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: ....in our area.
14
15
           MR. MACK: .....get your.....
16
17
           MR. TUTIAKOFF:
                           I'm not talking....
18
19
           MR. MACK:
                     .....facts straight.
20
21
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: ....about their area.
                                                    Their area....
22
23
                      Wait, wait, wait.
           MS. HILE:
24
25
                      But I'm....
           MR. MACK:
26
27
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: .....is up there.
28
29
           MS. HILE:
                      Wait.
30
31
           MR. MACK:
                     ....I'm....
32
33
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: I'm not.....
34
35
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Excuse me.
36
37
           MR. TUTIAKOFF:
                           .....talking their area.
38
39
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Please one at a time.
40
41
           MS. HILE: One at a time, please. Vince.
42
43
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yeah. I'm not talking about their
44 area. We can't make decisions on their area. I understand
45 what you're saying, but that's a commercial thing that you're
46 talking about, where they're saying they want to shut down the
47 industry out here for letting them get fish up there.
48
49
           I understand that. But realistically, that -- they'll
50 have to go through the same process that we're talking about
```

1 here. It would take them years to shut down the commercial industry out here through the process that's -- it's available 3 right now under this law, which is Item 3 there. They could do 4 that right now, without the Federal Board in place, it was 5 there. All this is doing is bringing it forward, giving this 6 Board or this Council authority to review that and make recommendations.

7 8 9

MR. HUFF: Our commercial fishing has evolved into our 10 subsistence.

11 12

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yeah, I know.

13 14

MR. HUFF: It's the only life we have.

15 16

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Without the commercial fishing, you 17 don't have a subsistence. And that's.....

18 19

We're -- we're.... MR. HUFF: Yeah.

20 21

MR. TUTIAKOFF: .....the position that the Aleut 22 Corporation has taken in this whole issue. I mean we're kind 23 of split down the middle on the issue.

24 25

MR. BRELSFORD: Why don't we -- if I could Mr. 26 Chairman, maybe put that on hold and let's talk about what 27 happens after October because there's some more steps before 28 any of this is a reality -- concrete reality. So maybe we kind 29 of recognize the significance of this one and we could move on 30 for a minute and leave some questions for later discussion.

31 32

I wanted to mention two more things in the proposed 33 regulations. There's been some controversy about the matter of 34 customary trade. And what these regulations provide for is 35 existing traditional practices of customary trade when it is 36 not a significant commercial enterprise, so there's still a cap 37 on the kind of exchanges in communities where subsistence taken 38 fish or strips, processed fish can be exchanged for non-39 commercial quantities, not significant commercial enterprise 40 levels of cash. That is an existing practice, it's a pretty 41 big deal in a lot regions. And so these regs kind of retain 42 the status quo. The cap on that is that this commercial --43 this exchange in the villages, customary trade, cannot be at a 44 scale that would constitute a significant commercial 45 enterprise.

46

47 And the last thing is that on the kind of nuts and 48 bolts regulations, seasons and bag limits, these -- the first 49 round of Federal subsistence fishery regs will look very 50 similar to the existing State subsistence fishing regs. That's

going to be the baseline. And the Councils and the public can make changes in coming years to adjust or refine those subsistence regulations. But instead of just, kind of starting big dramatic changes out of the gate, this is going to use an existing regulatory system for subsistence fisheries as the baseline and allow modifications in the coming years with the same kind of role that the Councils have had on wildlife. You guys would be in the middle of those regulatory proposals and recommendations and Board changes over the years.

10 11

There are a few specifics where the Federal Board has 12 already taken action on some fishing things, and those are So for example, in Batzulnetas, the actual fishing 13 included. 14 site where Katie John was fishing, the court said, provide a 15 subsistence fishery there, so that's there. That's not in 16 State regs, but that is included in these proposed Federal 17 regs. And another example would be rod and reel. In the State 18 system, that was typically not treated as a subsistence gear 19 type, in the Federal regulations, rod and reel is treated as a 20 subsistence gear type. So this whole idea, this process of 21 introducing Federal fisheries subsistence management is very 22 similar to what happened in 1990 when there was Federal 23 wildlife subsistence management. They started from an existing 24 baseline and then made adjustments year-to-year based on 25 Council input and public requests.

26 27

I think probably the next thing to bring to your attention is some of the implications for the Regional Councils. It's quite clear that taking on fisheries management down the road would, indeed, increase the work load. And the general picture that we're -- we had some discussion with Councils, you guys generally did not want to split up and have wildlife councils and fisheries councils, but instead have dual -- have both responsibilities in the same council. So what we thought that the wisest way to go would be to think about an extra day in the fall meetings and in the winter meetings. They may become three day meetings, rather than two.

38 39

The second point says it would be offset from the wildlife regs cycle. So like in the fall time this time of 41 year, you would be starting proposals for wildlife, but you 42 would be making your final recommendations on fisheries regs. 43 The Board would meet in regard to fish regulations like in 44 December and then those would go in effect in the spring. But 45 on wildlife, you would make proposals now and then in the 46 winter, make recommendations on the tech -- you know, you'd 47 review the technical analysis, make the recommendations to the 48 Board and the Board meets in April for July. So it seems as 49 though the workload could be handled by the same council with a 50 fairly small additional time requirement in the fall meetings

0159 and again in the winter meetings. 3 MR. SMITH: Doesn't the Governor's Task Force want to 4 change these Regional Councils though to, you know..... 5 6 MR. BRELSFORD: Yeah, let's run to the next..... 7 8 MR. SMITH: .....or is that coming up? 9 10 MR. BRELSFORD: Yeah. 11 12 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: It's under a different topic. 13 14 MR. BRELSFORD: The last point made here is that if the 15 moratorium is lifted and the Federal process takes place, the 16 first year to really start making new proposals in fisheries 17 regs would be a year from now, fall of '98. That's when a new 18 review -- proposals and reviews of fisheries would start, is a 19 year from now. In this first year, what we're going to do, 20 like I said, is the State system incorporated as kind of a 21 baseline, the State subsistence regs. 22 23 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: To be implemented in '99? 24 25 MR. BRELSFORD: The first year would start in spring of 26 '98. That baseline set of regs would start in..... 27 28 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: It says, review cycle starts in late 29 '98. 30 31 MR. BRELSFORD: For new changes, new proposals..... 32 33 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Right. 34 35 MR. BRELSFORD: ....to fish regs, that would be in 36 '98. And those changes would be effective in '99. 37 38 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: '99, right. 39 MR. BRELSFORD: So the '98 regs would be very similar 40 41 to what you now have under the State system. 42 43 So Melvin's question really goes to what's next. This 44 is if the moratorium's lifted and basically what that means is 45 if the Governor's Task Force runs aground, if nothing happens, 46 no change towards to reunifying with the State system, if the 47 court order guides us, none of these other things work, and 48 we're directed by the court to proceed, I wanted to be sure 49 everybody understands the steps involved before anything takes 50 effect. So the first step is to publish the proposed rule in

25

33 34

41 42

43 44

45

the Federal Register. There's basically these public notice requirements. You can't make new Federal rules by dark of 3 night, you have to do it in an open public process. So after 4 October 1st, if these other efforts break down and no 5 reconciliation with the State system and so on, shortly after 6 October 1st, there would be a publication nationally of the 7 proposed rule, proposed regulation like the draft, so to speak. 8 After that there have to be public hearings and there would be 9 something on the order of 10 to 20 public meetings held in late 10 winter in all of the regions, but also the big communities that 11 have an interest in the Federal fisheries question. This is 12 not going to pass unnoticed, right, there's going to be a lot 13 of public scrutiny. That set of public meetings is going to be 14 a pretty big deal. Only after you do that can the Federal 15 regulations then be finalized and published as what's called 16 the final rule. And then after all of those, the new 17 regulations could go into effect with the new seasons in the 18 spring of '98, in April or March of 1998. So that maybe -- you 19 know some folks have wondered if you're going to see a bunch of 20 new fisheries enforcement officers setting up shop on October 21 2nd, and the answer to that is no. There's still an extensive 22 public involvement process before those Federal regulations 23 would be binding, would be finalized. 24

And like some of the questions you guys were asking 26 about how is this particular thing going to be worked out or 27 what about such and such. I think those are the kinds of 28 questions that might be worked out. There may be some changes 29 between the proposed rule, the draft stage and the final stage 30 based on input during those public meetings. There could be 31 some refinements or some modifications to the proposed rule. 32 That's what that whole public involvement process is about.

So the effective date, the first day in which there is 35 going to be real new seasons and bag limits and Federal 36 jurisdiction on the ground is actually in the spring of '98, 37 it's not October 1st. What would start on October 1st is the 38 public involvement step of Federal rulemaking. So I hope I 39 kind of started to answer your questions, Melvin, and that 40 was....

> MR. SMITH: Yeah. But....

MR. BRELSFORD: And that's it.

46 MR. SMITH: .....if the State should pull it off and we 47 don't go to Federal takeover, you know, the Governor's 48 proposing six Regional Councils instead of -- we have nine 49 right now, right? 50

0161 1 MR. TUTIAKOFF: For the State. 2 3 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Ten. 5 MR. BRELSFORD: Ten. 7 MR. TUTIAKOFF: But just for the.... 8 9 MR. BRELSFORD: We have 10. 10 11 MR. TUTIAKOFF: But just for the State, that doesn't 12 have nothing to do with this program or are we going to 13 rollover into their program? 14 15 MR. SMITH: Oh, I see what you mean, yeah. 16 17 MR. CRATTY: See, it's just a different program. 18 19 Yeah, right. MR. SMITH: 20 21 MR. TUTIAKOFF: They're total separate councils..... 22 23 MR. SMITH: Right. 24 25 MR. TUTIAKOFF: ....that.... 26 27 MR. SMITH: Um-hum. 28 29 MR. BRELSFORD: There are two paths, what the Governor 30 and the Secretary would like is those paths to converge and 31 have one subsistence management system. But there's a lot of 32 steps. There has to be some legislation. By most accounts 33 there would have to be a public vote on a constitutional 34 amendment. Then the Federal government has to make a fin --35 the Secretary has to make a finding that the State program 36 complies and then you go back to a unified subsistence program. 37 38 I mean I lot of you guys have been in pretty close on 39 this Governor's Task Force and the AFN Summit meeting, so you 40 know that the proposals are suggesting some changes in the 41 Regional Council program. I think -- we believe that the State 42 program is trying to take some lessons from the success of the 43 Regional Council programs. That they've seen that as a real 44 achievement, a real accomplishment in the last five or six 45 years and want to benefit from that, want to retain strong 46 regional councils with heavy input, you know, vigorous input 47 but they are structured a bit differently under the Governor's 48 Task Force proposal.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: When I look at this minimum change,

State subsistence regulation, that once again we're back to ground zero, I don't know, and that is is the rural preference -- is that a given then or is that something that is up for discussion?

5

MR. BRELSFORD: In the Federal system the rural 7 preference is absolute. I mean that's the.....

9

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: A given.

10 11

MR. BRELSFORD: .....doorway in. We don't do anything 12 except in rural areas with rural residents. The rural 13 preference is the key in the Federal subsistence regs. 14 these seasons and bag limits adopted from the State are similar 15 to what goes on now. But the people who benefit from those 16 under Federal regulations would be rural Alaska residents, not 17 non-rural residents.

18 19

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Okay. The other thing I look at is 20 the customary trade commercial issue. When I look at and want 21 to take example for purposes is smoked fish, I mean that is 22 something that's kind of common amongst all the Native groups 23 and tribes, but we're prohibited from selling it due to, I 24 don't know health, they claim that if the fish isn't cooked at 25 a certain degree, so therefore, it does not pass the regs 26 concerning foods. But yet it is something that has been around 27 many, many generations. And smoked fish is a big commodity 28 that is desired by many other people. I'm just trying to see 29 how is that going to effect between conflicting laws now?

30 31

MR. BRELSFORD: I may let Arnie back me, I want to make 32 sure I've got this right. I think there are separate 33 regulations, commercial sales, licensed commercial fish sales.

34 35

MR. CRATTY: Um-hum.

36 37

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Well, you'd have to consider it non-38 commercial because they legally can't sell it commercially now.

39 40

MR. BRELSFORD: Again, the health.....

41 42

MR. SHAUL: The health regulations are different.

43 44

MR. BRELSFORD: .....regulations. Right. That was 45 where I was hoping we could get.....

46

47 MR. SHAUL: If you're going to have a regular 48 commercial operations, you really have products, there's all 49 kinds of food health regulations that seem to never end. 50 That's one thing that makes commercial -- regulations, it's got

```
0163
  to be caught in commercial fishing periods. If you're talking
  about the customary and traditional trade among other
3
  people....
4
5
           MR. BRELSFORD: It's kind of....
6
7
           MR. SHAUL: .....you're subsistencing.
                                                   That's a whole
8 different issue.
9
10
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I guess as what I look at, they've
11 tried to produce it commercially and it's been shot down for
12 one reason or another all the way through. And there seems to
13 be no clear path on the simple.....
14
15
           MR. CRATTY: Yeah, there is one, Mark, you can just
16 sell it for cat or dog food.
17
18
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Yeah.
19
20
           MR. CRATTY: Just put cat food or dog food on it.
21
22
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: But there's got to be some way to
23 promote that. It's always been a customary trade.
24
25
           MR. CRATTY:
                        Right.
26
27
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Yes, without a doubt.
28
29
                      They're trying to develop that on the Yukon
           MR. ELEY:
30 River and they ran into the same problem.
31
32
                        I thought there was one place....
           MR. CRATTY:
33
34
           MR. ELEY: The Health Department ran a.....
35
36
          MR. CRATTY: I thought one guy did it.....
37
38
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: But if it's not commercial, then how
39 can they effect it? So do you see what I'm saying, we're
40 identifying commercial and non-commercial.....
41
42
           MR. CRATTY: Because when you're selling it you are
43 making it commercial.
44
45
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Well, that's customary trade. Okay,
46 I'll leave it at that. I'm just wanted to exercise a point
47 that I see very crucial to.....
48
49
           MR. SMITH: It's pretty hard to distinguish.....
50
```

4

5 6

8

MR. BRELSFORD: I think there's grey areas is what you're suggesting to us.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: A little darker than grey.

6 MR. BRELSFORD: It's pretty clear that -- yeah, okay, 7 dark grey.

MR. SMITH: Clouded grey.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Battleship.

11 12 13

10

13 MR. BRELSFORD: Yeah. I know that time is kind of 14 short so we may not be able to examine all of the legals that 15 there are. But if there were any other big questions or Tom, 16 if you had any key points that I might have passed over.

17 18

MR. ELEY: No, it's just on the extra jurisdictional, I 19 think everybody should just remember that's not going to be 20 done lightly. There have been civil wars over that sort of 21 thing, and so it's going to go to Washington and it's going to 22 be a political decision, and it's not going to be done on a 23 willy-nilly basis. So I think you can rest a little easy.

24 25

MR. SMITH: Not completely, though.

26 27

MR. TUTIAKOFF: I have a question.

28 29

MR. MACK: My only comment -- excuse me, Mr. Chairman. 30 My only comment is that you got regulations will probably go in 31 the spring of 1998 which is going to effect our fishery and the 32 commercial thing. But like Tom was saying, and I understand 33 fully too, if they do take over it's going to be a lot of steps 34 that we're going to have to face. And it's coming and I know 35 this Board here, you have nothing to say about it, except 36 subsistence use only and I appreciate that. And you know, 37 you're going to have a lot of work cut out for you, it's going 38 to be an ongoing -- oncoming battle, and I know, you know, 39 we've worked with the State for how many years and all the --40 all the programs and statistics and findings and everything and 41 I just -- when it does come about, or it does come about, you 42 know, just when you do have your meetings, I'm hoping that 43 you'll please include our district 'cause we'll be there, we'll 44 be there. And I appreciate that.

45

MS. TRUMBLE: Mr. Chair. Maybe just another little 47 point of interest, too. Marvin and I, been reading -- doing 48 some reading and, you know, we are under -- in black and 49 whites, some areas that the people in AYK have -- you know, 50 they don't have any technically -- they can get their

subsistence to any degree, they're not being stopped. We are and if you look at the theory of them stopping or trying to control 20 some thousand people, they can't. But when you're looking in the region of a couple of thousand people, they damn well can and are. And if they're under the impression that they're going to go to Federal takeover and get what they want because they've been allowed to for so many years, that's what they're going to go after. Right back down to us.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Okay, see it's.....

MR. GUNDERSON: I don't see really any checks and labelines in this thing. It's up to the Secretary of the Interior to make a decision as to what goes on. And like you say, there's supposed to be a lot of public comment and that whatever, but -- it gives the authority to one individual instead of having a board that we can all go before.

MR. BRELSFORD: Well, let me say once again, that the 20 starting point, the first point of information gathering is 21 actually the Federal Board in Alaska. So a council or a 22 community, if they have a complaint about a subsistence 23 failure, they go to this Board and make their case, layout the 24 information. Then additional technical analysis is done to see 25 if it holds up, to see what the scientific information is. And 26 then the Board passes that information and a recommendation on 27 to the Secretary.

I think another check and balance of this we really 30 haven't mentioned is that it's subject to court review. And 31 we're all very aware that every stage of this dispute about 32 passed through fisheries and terminal fisheries and so on has 33 landed in the courts, and the courts have been going through 34 the scientific record and the administrative record and so on. 35 So the Secretary can't act -- he's not a sovereign to himself, 36 he's -- he has to operate within existing legal guidelines. 37 And if he falls outside of that, then the courts would be able 38 to pull it back, hold it in.

So there are some checks and balances at several steps. 41 There is a role for the Federal Subsistence Board and for the 42 Councils. The legal authority, the final say so is still at 43 the Federal level at the Secretary's level and there's always 44 judicial challenge, judicial recourse.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I guess to -- you got my attention 47 there, Della, on that question there. Certainly it's one that 48 I hadn't thought of here recently. But you -- let me see if I 49 hear you right. You're asking what will happen if, let's say, 50 the YK area fails, and it's pushed down again to here Area M or

0166 so to be the cause, then that is shut down, then what protects them from their subsistence gatherings, which creates another failure, which will kind of echo right back down the circle again. So you understand what the potential there is? If I'm 5 reading it right. I don't know what..... 6 7 MR. SMITH: Mr. Chair, yeah, it never fails. 8 something wrong goes on up there, like in the papers I saw this 9 last summer, the first people they blame is like the False Pass 10 area. 11 12 MS. TRUMBLE: You see it on TV. 13 14 MR. SMITH: It never fails. 15 16 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: It never fails? 17 18 MR. SMITH: It never fails. 19 20 MR. GUNDERSON: It never fails. 21 22 MR. HUFF: There are fishermen and.... 23 24 MS. HILE: You need to get closer to..... 25 26 MR. HUFF: .....if they have a failure they blame us. 27 28 MS. HILE: Mr. Huff, you need to come closer to the 29 microphone so I can get everything you're saying. 30 31 MR. HUFF: Those people up there ain't commercial 32 fishermen and if they have a failure -- failures, we have 33 failures every year on certain streams, you know, it happens. 34 It's the way it's happened and it's -- and they don't 35 understand the failure up there. They're reaching down and 36 trying to blame us for their failures. They've been 37 overfishing their stocks for years and the State's been 38 allowing them to do it. And they're having more failures as a 39 result of it and they're trying to reach out and stop us, see. 40 41 They just don't understand our failures. 42 43 MS. TRUMBLE: They don't take responsibility. 44 45 They don't take responsibility for their own MR. HUFF: 46 actions. 47 48 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: So I try to look at it and see, wow, 49 it's kind of -- Y K is going to blame Area M, Area M's going to

50 blame Chiqnik and Chiqnik's going to blame Kodiak.

0167 MS. TRUMBLE: No, usually the end -- the buck usually stops where we are. 3 4 MR. HUFF: No. 5 MR. GUNDERSON: Well, every side..... 7 8 MR. SMITH: Everybody blames us. 9 10 MS. TRUMBLE: We ain't stupid. 11 12 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Well, I'm glad to hear that. 13 14 MR. HUFF: Every system through the years is going to 15 have a failure or two, you know. Every so often it happens. 16 Even with the best biologists in the world can't figure out 17 why. 18 19 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Was there -- this was an informational 20 thing, right? 21 22 MR. BRELSFORD: No action is required. Watch the 23 newspapers, we'll see what happens on October 1st, whether the 24 moratorium is back or the Governor's Task Force is making some 25 headway. There's certainly a lot of activity as we're talking, 26 continuing discussions by the Governor's Task Force and so on. 27 28 But this was just so people could have a little bit of 29 an idea of what would come down the road, some way to evaluate 30 the news reports day after day. 31 32 MR. TUTIAKOFF: So my understanding out of all this, 33 Mr. Chairman, this is Vince, is that this Council will not look 34 to October 1st to takeover management of the fisheries, right? 35 36 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: No, just to..... 37 38 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Okay. 39 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: .....look forward to..... 40 41 42 MR. TUTIAKOFF: And that's not our intent and not our 43 purpose here. Our purpose is the subsistence issues, although 44 we want to work with individuals or whatever to keep the 45 commercial interest out here going because that's economically 46 tied to the subsistence issue. It is out here, and it's 47 totally different than what's happening at Bristol Bay and the 48 YK area where they are dependent totally on a river system that 49 was -- which was mentioned earlier, that totally depleted over 50 the last 20 years because they did not care to manage it. They

just felt it was going to be there every year, year after year and they just kind of managed on their own and feed their dogs, that's basically all they were doing.

5

7

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I would imagine that a tier structure might be applicable to the fisheries then. I mean if the resource would not handle the load, the first thing would be commercial to be stopped, then sport, then subsistence.

8 9 10

MR. BRELSFORD: Well, in gross terms, the amount of 11 fish taken for subsistence purposes is something on the order 12 of one percent....

13 14

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Right.

15 16

MR. BRELSFORD: .....of the total run. So even if you 17 double the subsistence fisheries, and I don't think anybody's 18 arguing that subsistence fisheries aren't -- you know, people 19 aren't getting their food, a dramatic crises like that, that's 20 not going to result in a shutdown in the commercial fisheries. 21 There may be adjustments here and there, but there are 22 certainly not going to be -- to provide more subsistence fish, 23 you don't have to eliminate commercial fishing, there's the --24 the run species are much more abundant than that.

25 26

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yes. But that's the exact point that 27 YK is attacking. They're saying that they can't get their 28 fish, then shut down another area so they can get their fish.

29

MS. TRUMBLE: Um-hum.

30 31

32 MR. TUTIAKOFF: And that's the argument that they're 33 making.

34

MR. BRELSFORD: Yeah.

35 36

> 37 MR. TUTIAKOFF: And that's making an economic issue to 38 our region.

39 40

MR. BRELSFORD: Right. No, I.....

41 42

MR. TUTIAKOFF: See what I'm saying?

43 44

MR. BRELSFORD: .....understand. I guess what I'm kind 45 of thinking is that we're not going to resolve that debate and 46 struggle. The implication here is that there's a different 47 forum.

48

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Right.

2 3

4 5

7

8

MR. BRELSFORD: It's going to be in a Federal.....

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Some place.

MR. BRELSFORD: .....forum perhaps, alongside a State forum where in the past it was all before the State Board of Fisheries and so on. This adds the complication of two channels, a Federal channel and a State channel. But a lot of the standards, a lot of the scientific data questions, those 10 are all still the same, whether you're in a Federal forum or a 11 State forum.

12 13

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Okay, well, that 20 minutes only took 14 40 minutes. So I think we should try to move on unless anybody 15 else has any other thing that they would like to share here.

16 17

Before you move on, Mr. Gunderson, could I MS. HILE: 18 have you move back over here to the table by the microphone, 19 because I'm having a hard time picking you up.

20 21

MR. BRELSFORD: We've got Gilda's chair here, too, 22 Paul, if you just want to slid in.

23 24

MR. GUNDERSON: Okay.

25 26

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Okay, as I look here, annual reports. 27 Cliff, were you going to give us an update here on the annual 28 report. It's an issue we've discussed we felt the annual 29 reports were doing what? I guess that was the question.

30 31

MR. EDENSHAW: That was the question.

32 33

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Coming out annually.

34 35

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: What do they do with the reports?

36 37

MR. EDENSHAW: What do they do with the reports? Well, 38 they go through a lengthy process. That's under Tab F, annual 39 reports. And when the Council met, let's see in February we 40 were in Kodiak, the Council had an opportunity to review a 41 final draft of the annual report with concerns they had about 42 resources in the region in Kodiak and the Aleutian Chain. 43 this letter here is dated September 4th, this is a response 44 from the Chair of the Federal Subsistence Board, Mitch 45 Demientieff. And we'll go down here in the first one and the 46 letter is sent to Mark, so Mark should have received this and -47 - but the first one here, I think this one goes back to, not 48 the past meeting in Kodiak, but last year in '96 when we 49 received a letter from Paul Panamaroff regarding State elk 50 permits, the process by which they are distributed. And he

requested through the Regional Advisory Council that somehow the permits being given to one per household instead of, you know, by an individual basis because he felt that some households, you know, there may be four or five of them in a household that may get a permit and in the last seven or 11 years he hadn't received a permit, so he wanted one per household. But that's under State regulations. And speaking with Greg Bos in our office, the State wasn't going to address elk permits until the year 2000. And then further on, we addressed some of that yesterday when Rachel gave a discussion, 11 as well as Robert, a proposal for an elk hunt on Afognak Island on the small portion of Federal public lands.

The second portion, number two, we went ahead and 15 addressed that one yesterday afternoon, regarding the Southern 16 Alaska Peninsula Caribou Herd. And I think when Tom -- when I 17 was talking with Tom here yesterday, just to clarify, those 18 dates are the 17th, the Board.....

MR. ELEY: The 17th is the Board meeting.

MR. EDENSHAW: The 17th of October the Board will address Unit 9(D) and the Staff Committee, October 9th. So we 24 did that one yesterday.

Number three, Taylor just went over number three here this morning, an update on fisheries.

Number four, I received a letter from Mark, he faxed me 30 a copy of an extensive letter that somehow -- we never received 31 the original Mark. We didn't have the original, I do not 32 recall ever receiving the original.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: So the original letter?

MR. EDENSHAW: Yeah, the original.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Um.

MR. EDENSHAW: All's I have is a faxed version that was 41 faxed from Kodiak. And so we went through this, the original, 42 we felt, was -- naturally we assumed that was mailed from your -- from Kodiak to the Secretary of Interior, and since then we 44 went ahead and just made a copy of that letter and a cover 45 letter and my understanding, Taylor, I thought a response was 46 pending.

48 MR. BRELSFORD: Yeah. I think the letter went to the 49 Secretary in late summer and we should have a reply very soon.

3

5 6

7

10 11

19 20

31 32

39 40

45 46

47 48

49 50

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I guess I look at that, it's kind of immaterial now at this point?

MR. EDENSHAW: Um-hum.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I mean is this what we can expect?

8 MR. GUNDERSON: Yeah, I was just wondering about this 9 process.

11 MR. BRELSFORD: Well, I think it's fair to say we 12 failed in our responsibility. We misunderstood a faxed copy 13 from you as being an informational copy and not the action 14 copy. I think original correspondence, when it comes into our 15 office to the coordinators, we always understand that means 16 move it along. We thought you had already done that. And so 17 not double checking all of that, I mean I think we have to fess 18 up and take responsibility of that.

The heart of the matter, Mark, was that the Council felt very strongly about moving forward with the subsistence caribou season in Unit 9(D) and Unit 10. That's actually gone forward to Council, to refuge manager, everybody's been moving right along making that work. Making progress in that respect. So you know, I think we have to -- we owe you an apology about some of the correspondence breakdown, but on the substance, providing the subsistence season on caribou, that's moved on without delay really. We're making a lot of headway in getting that subsistence season on the ground. So we'll do better on our letters.

You know, maybe a check phone call when we see 33 something that looks a little odd like that, just to be double 34 sure. That's the lesson I take from it as far as trying to 35 keep the administrative side sound. But the resource side, you 36 guys have kept the issue on the table, the refuge manager, the 37 Board people have been moving right along towards that opening 38 that subsistence hunting season.

40 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Yeah, I just sometimes, it depends on 41 who you're working with, sometimes a fax is an original and 42 some don't consider it an original. So therefore, just to 43 expedient things up, as far as the time frame goes, I use the 44 fax as a way to transmit faster.

MR. BRELSFORD: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: So maybe I was wrong.

MR. BRELSFORD: I think we both need to double check

when something of that sort comes through. Correspondence with the Secretary is significant. It is the result of a strong Council concern, we need to, you know, all be sure it gets to the right mail drop in Washington.

5 6

6 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I'll put two stamps on it next time. 7 Carry on, Cliff, please.

8

9 MR. EDENSHAW: Okay. Number five. I thought back in -- 10 back in Anchorage I spoke, you know, briefly with Tom and I 11 thought Tom may address this a little bit. This was regarding 12 migratory birds.

13 14

MR. ELEY: Sure, I'd be glad to.

15 16

MR. EDENSHAW: Thank you.

17 18

MR. ELEY: Let me see if I can remember all this
19 because we originally had a -- there was originally a reference
20 to something that was happening that really wasn't and we
21 didn't want you to be misinformed about the issue. Now,
22 there's two things that go on here. One has to do with spring
23 hunting of water fowl, which is not so important to you all,
24 but in the Interior of Alaska and the North Slope, it's very
25 important. For spring hunting to transpire will require a
26 change to the Migratory Bird Treaty Act. And in fact,
27 yesterday they were having hearings in Washington on those
28 changes to see if they can bring about a provision to allow
29 subsistence hunting during the spring closed season, because
30 the seasons are closed from the 31st of March, basically until
31 the 1st of September. There's no water fowl hunting permitted.
32 Which is, in a lot of areas, that's the only time the water
33 fowl are there....

34 35

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Legally.

36

MR. ELEY: Legally, absolutely. It has been -- it's 38 gone on, everybody's known it's gone on and the Fish and 39 Wildlife Service and the State and Park Service and everybody's 40 basically turned an eye from it and says, as long as it's not 41 involving airplanes and not involving wanton waste, then go 42 ahead, you know.

43 44

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: So be it.

45

MR. ELEY: But we're working on this so that we can 47 regulate it. There can be seasons and bag limits. People can 48 hunt legally and that's transpiring, that's underway to make 49 that happen. And in fact, we're about to have a meeting in 50 Anchorage to see how rules and regulations would be set, would

they come the Federal Subsistence Board. Would we have some other organization, you know, some other bureaucracies that would handle it, you know, how is it going to be done. And people are thinking that out right now.

The other issue concerns several things, one of them is 7 emperor geese that Paul and I talked about last night. But 8 that is the winter hunting of water fowl after the season is 9 closed and particularly, black brandt. That some over winter 10 in the waters near here and I think some over winter near 11 Kodiak as well, and there's been some concern, why can't we 12 hunt them, you know, the season closes. What we have found out 13 is that you have 107 day season to play with and there's no 14 reason why that season cannot be divided. You know, 50 days on 15 one end and 57 days on the other end. And maybe have an early 16 season that starts the 1st of September, like we do now and 17 then maybe a late season, in January -- December or January. 18 Still within the legal time frame of dealing with the Migratory 19 Bird Treaty Act. But it would have to go to the Flyaway 20 Council, it'd have to be done in conjunction with the State.

21 22

As some of you may know, Greg, last year worked with 23 local people in this area and the State to get the bag limit 24 for Canada geese raised. And I think it's what now, six or --25 yeah, it used to be four. And it'd be the same process, it'd 26 just be a change of the seasons. You split the black brandt 27 season, you know, from the 1st of September to the 15th of 28 October and then the 1st of December to the middle of January 29 or something like that. That's entirely possible. And if 30 people want that, I would encourage them to get with Greg and 31 have him help them run that through the Flyaway system and the 32 State just like we did with the Canada goose proposal. 33 certainly possible.

34 35

The problem is that that's not spring hunting and some 36 people have called it spring hunting. And once it has the 37 nomenclature of spring hunting, then it throws it into another 38 whole ball park, and really it's not. And it is something that 39 can be accommodated now. So if late winter hunting is really 40 important to people, then work with Greg, he'll help get the 41 process started and away we go.

42 43

Now, the hunting of emperor geese. Emperor geese, some 44 people have contended are not migratory birds, although they do 45 go on a small migration. They are covered by the Migratory 46 Bird Treaty Act, therefore, they are migratory birds. All the 47 geese are considered migratory birds as are the ducks.

48 49

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Sea gulls.

MR. ELEY: The populations are very low in emperor geese and the possibility of getting the season opened is getting better every year, but the population — the biologists still feel that the population is too low to have a hunting season. But that would be the same thing, a person could propose to the State to have, you know, and ultimately to the Flyaway Commission who set the rules and regulations to have a season. But everybody, I think, all the biologists are sort of in agreement right now that the population is still low. And hopefully sometime in the future that it can be opened back up 11 again.

13 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I would just like to, while we are 14 discussing birds, Marvin Huff, you brought to my attention here 15 the fluctuation of the counts and where it effects you for 16 three years. Could you kind of give us all an idea of how you 17 see that and how it effects you.

MR. HUFF: Mr. Chairman, my name is Marvin Huff. Two 20 years ago they told us the population of the emperors was 21 around 85,000. Last year it was 86. This year, well, while I 22 was out in Anchorage, they told me it was 55. It's impossible. 23 If the population — we were told that if the population was 24 81,000 for three years, they'd open the season. So now it's 25 going to be three more years. I don't — I don't buy that 26 55,000 at all.

I was talking to local residents up in Nelson's Lagoon 29 and they say they have never, ever seen so many emperor's, ever 30 in their life.

32 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: So here, again, I wonder, are we happy 33 with the results of the survey?

MR. HUFF: No, we're not.

37 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Because I think it's important for it 38 to be on the record. Al you start it first here.

40 MR. CRATTY: Yeah, I got a question for Tom. You say 41 the biologists say they feel there ain't enough birds to have a 42 season, are they talking commercial or are we talking 43 subsistence?

MR. ELEY: Any season.

MR. CRATTY: Well....

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Here again.....

MR. ELEY: There's no commercial use of water fowl. I 2 mean there's sport hunting.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Right.

4 5 6

7

8

3

MR. ELEY: And you know, there's only -- actually right now there's only one set of regulation, The Water Fowl Regulations and they apply to everybody whether you're a subsistence user or.....

9 10 11

MR. CRATTY: Well, you know, if they're waiting for 12 commercial, they should look at the fact that it's subsistence.

13 14

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Well, subsistence should come first.

15 16

MR. CRATTY: Yeah.

17 18

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: In other words, you're looking at 19 sport as a basic commercial entity?

20 21

MR. CRATTY: Well, he said the biologists said there 22 ain't enough birds to have a season when we're looking for a 23 subsistence, not a commercial.

24 25

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Here again, without the absence of no 26 subsistence management plan here, again, that puts us in a real 27 bad situation and the underdog, if you will.

28 29

MR. ELEY: There is a management plan for the emperor 30 goose.

31 32

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Subsistence?

33 34

MR. ELEY: It's called a recovery plan. I don't know 35 the particulars of it.

36 37

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Right.

38 39

MR. ELEY: I don't work with water fowl very much. But 40 there is a recovery plan. And I don't know if that's where it 41 states the thresholds for populations for hunting and these 42 sorts of things, but I can't tell you off the top of my head. 43 I wouldn't want to give you incorrect information. But there 44 is a recovery plan and it's been a long time since I've looked 45 at it and I can't remember how it deals with subsistence, if it 46 deals with it any different than the sport hunting. Once the 47 amendments are passed, I think that allows spring hunting. 48 think that the whole subsistence use of water fowl will be 49 looked at and I think it's going to take a different course 50 than it has in the past. I think the subsistence will have

more of a -- I don't want to say a priority, but it's going to have a higher view within the biologist's realm than it does now because we can look at it in total then. Because you know, right now, the only subsistence we could look at is in the winter time which is when the seasons are open for everybody. But if we could deal with the whole year of the water fowl, if you will, we can deal with the spring seasons where they need them, the winter seasons where they need them. It's going to make much more sense than trying to, you know, deal with it as a sport. But I think when the treaty amendments are passed, we'll see a very different view of water fowl in the State.

13 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: So I could -- it'd be fair to say then 14 that the existing regulations are pertaining to sport, since 15 that's the -- was the only harvesting -- subsistence was not a 16 part of it then, that has to be the only direction that they 17 are looking at?

19 MR. ELEY: The existing regulations pertain to 20 everybody. They don't differentiate subsistence user from the 21 non-subsistence user. That's a fair comment.

23 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Just a minute, Marvin, I had Vincent 24 here next.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: On the emperors -- the count is made 27 now and I was going to ask, is the count made by the State, is 28 that what you're talking about, that we don't agree with?

 $30\,$  MR. ELEY: No, State and Federal government do the  $31\ \text{counts.}$ 

33 MR. TUTIAKOFF: They do the counts together or they -- 34 one goes one direction and the other goes the other?

MR. ELEY: You know, I can't remember. I know the 37 Federal government does most of them on the Yukon Delta and the 38 nesting grounds, but I can't remember the other details of it. 39 And I don't want to lead you too far astray on it.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: No. I was talking with some of these 42 people that utilize the spring, what they call the gathering in 43 the Yukon area, and it kind of upsets me that they allow egg 44 gathering and allowing the catch of these animals that can't 45 even fly, basically they herd them into pens and they slaughter 46 them and then they get the food. That's happening here in the 47 spring. And we have here -- when we see them in the winter, 48 which is the only time we see them, we're not allowed to shoot 49 them, not allowed to -- you know, if you even look at them or 50 caught looking at them, you may be arrested, you know.

MR. CRATTY: Winking.

1 3

MR. TUTIAKOFF: But out on the Chain, from Adak -- and I was out on Adak for the last two winters, I've seen an 5 increase in the emperor and the brandts. And what you're 6 talking about the recovery program for -- that they have in 7 place, basically all it is is get rid of the rats and foxes on 8 every island that's inhabited by a bird, that includes the 9 emperors -- or they target basically the emperor where they are 10 in the winter time. And there's some islands out there, the 11 Bogoslof and the Little Sitka that have nesting areas, they do 12 nest out there during the summer, spring months.

13 14

But that's the only program they have, and that's too 15 radicate the fox and the rats off these islands. They've got 16 30 or 40 people out there all summer just doing that. And at 17 the same time, they are doing a count. But they have been 18 increasing in the last couple of years. My point is, why did 19 they allow it to have happen in the Yukon, what they call a 20 subsistence hunt and, you know, when they're the most 21 vulnerable? Their eggs are there and they're sitting on the 22 tundra and they're just chasing and slaughtering them 23 basically, and this has been going on for years. And that 24 could be the cause of why they declined over the -- because of 25 the increase, the mode, the transportation to get to these 26 areas has become -- rather than by foot, it's four-wheeler and 27 tundra tracs and everything else. They've got the trailers 28 behind them and they're hauling them out of there. You know, 29 that, I think has got to be looked at and make them go by foot. 30 And you'll see less of a gathering happening there.

31 32

And the Migratory Bird Act, you know, they asked us, 33 you know, the Aleut Corporation if we were interested in that 34 particular amendment, which would be to bring a spring hunt in 35 the Aleutians and we told them, yes, we are. And what's that, 36 Eluska, on the RuralCap board there.

37 38

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Um-hum.

39 40

MR. TUTIAKOFF: I talked with him and told him that we 41 are interested in the Kodiak and Aleutian Regional type board 42 that we are interested in a spring hunt out here and see what 43 you can to get us included, if not by participation in their 44 meetings, but just put our name in there, add our name there to 45 that list of communities, and hopefully that's happened, and 46 we're going to hear shortly I guess.

47

48 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: That's work for a RuralCap. Melvin, 49 did I see you earlier?

MR. CRATTY: Marvin.

3 5

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Oh, I seen one hand over here first and then Marvin I'll take you.

7

1

2

MR. GUNDERSON: I was listening to Marvin's numbers and a little bit on the emperor geese, there's been an ongoing study in Nelson Lagoon for the last -- probably for about 12 to 14 years. And it's headed up by U.S. Fish and Wildlife. 10 they -- as a matter-of-fact, there was a six party team that 11 just left there last week. They've been there for several 12 weeks and they do a count and everything, every year look at 13 the survival rate and everything else. And the numbers that I 14 get from them guys -- for people that are actually out there in 15 the field is anywhere's from 104 to 110,000. And then 16 someplace, by the time the message got there to Anchorage, they 17 lost 30,000 someplace, you know, so it's a big discrepancy.....

18 19

Maybe it's an allocation. MR. TUTIAKOFF:

20 21

MR. GUNDERSON: ....in the numbers there.

22 23

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Maybe it's an allocation that they're 24 looking at a percentage.

25 26

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Tom, did you have something to add to 27 that question?

28 29

MR. ELEY: I was just going to say that, you know, we 30 could spend a lot of time talking about emperor geese and 31 they're really interesting birds. If this is something that 32 interests the Regional Council, the best thing to do and I 33 think Cliff and I could probably arrange it, is at your next 34 meeting get somebody from Migratory Birds out here that can 35 really speak to them specifically. And have them, Minnie Hogan 36 or someone like that that could -- and by then the amendments 37 will theoretically be passed and we could probably give you a 38 better briefing on what's going on and have someone that could 39 really answer emperor goose questions, rather than sort of 40 speculate like I am and other people.

41 42

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Yes. At this point I would so 43 recommend. I would also -- hopefully they can give us the 44 knowledge on how the surveys are conducted.

45 46

MR. ELEY: They will do that, you bettcha.

47

48 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I've got a couple here yet. Marvin 49 Mack, please.

5

7

9

24

47

MR. MACK: Yeah, my name's Marvin Mack, King Cove, Tom - I just want to know, you know, Vince you have real good input on, you know, I agree with what Vince says and Tom, you know. And the only thing that bothers me, the question comes up, go back and educate your village, you know, and that there was told to me by a refuge manager here.

MR. ELEY: Um-hum.

MR. MACK: Because they say, we don't abide by the 11 rules. Well, if you take the Migratory Bird Act, them birds go 12 in one big circle all the way to Mexico and stuff. And then 13 you start killing them down there, they go up north, spring 14 hunt, turn your face, stuff, now, here it is our season it's 15 the only time we get to hunt them. Spring hunt, we get nailed 16 because we're just a few people, you know. And I can 17 understand it, and it really -- and when I get nailed, I pay 18 fines, believe me, you know, because of this. And I -- and it 19 is a problem, and there's a lot of people upset. And then 20 after I pay my fine and they tell me to go back to King Cove 21 and educate the people there because we're a bunch of 22 slaughters or whatever, but there has definitely got to be 23 something done and there's got to be people come out.

25 And like I say, you know, with what's happening and the 26 letters are not sent or whatever, inform the people, inform us. 27 I mean we'd like to see and hear more instead of just coming up 28 here and getting nailed. In Cold Bay, of course, Cold Bay is 29 the biggest hunting place in the world because of the refuge 30 and we're fighting because of the refuge. You know, we can't 31 get a road because of the refuge. We come up here hunting 32 we're targeted. We feel we're targeted because of the spring 33 hunt which is allowed up north, the gathering of eggs and stuff 34 because they're subsistence and we don't have subsistence down 35 here. You're treating us like just another hunter, you know, I 36 mean we have to pay duck stamps and stuff. I'd like to see how 37 many duck stamps and stuff they sell up north for them people 38 in their spring hunts. But we have to pay. It cost me \$50 to 39 go out hunting, just to get my license, my two duck stamps, and 40 I'm a Native. And it's upsetting, you know. And then they 41 tell me I got to go back to King Cove and tell -- you know, 42 they're not my people, they're my relatives and everybody, what 43 I got to go back and educate them because -- just so I can come 44 hunt in Cold Bay on the refuge that I was raised and born in, 45 and the people in King Cove been raised and born in and we got 46 to come and face this here issue, it's hard to swallow.

And I know you people got a real hard job ahead of you, 49 and I appreciate what you're doing. Just count us in the rest 50 of Alaska. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Thank you, Marvin. Marvin Huff, please, next.

3

7

MR. HUFF: While we're on subsistence, we have never 5 had a subsistence goose hunt up here of any kind. Was never 6 allowed to, and I really think we should be able to. we've always done all our lives is we've come up, either here 8 or Morzhovi Bay or someplace and we get 30 or 40 birds. We 9 might get them in one night, we're home, we're done. 10 with the other people. And it's just not cost effective for us 11 to come up from King Cove to come to Cold Bay, spend four or 12 500 for 12 or 16 birds and go back home. You know, it's not --13 the economics ain't there.

14 15

What we usually do is come up -- we used to for years 16 and years, all my life, come up and get 30 or 40 birds, we're 17 done. And if we do that now, we're arrested. They started 18 that about eight years ago. So we have never, ever been 19 allowed to subsistence hunt on geese. And we don't do our 20 geese hunting in the spring, we do it in the fall. We don't 21 have them in spring, except a few brandts. So I'd really like 22 to see that put in where we could come up and we could afford 23 to go hunting. You know, we don't have the thousands of 24 dollars to spend or throw away. If I had five -- \$1,000 I'd 25 probably have to buy meat because 12 birds don't quite cut it 26 or 16 or whatever you're allowed.

27 28

Thank you.

29 30

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Thank you. Just to address that, I 31 would say that even though they're under the Migratory Bird Act 32 and we do not have a subsistence season hunt, I think it'd be 33 very useful for both you and Marvin to draft a letter, an 34 original, that we can utilize as a complaint, if you will.

35 36

Della, you've been patiently waiting, too.

37 38

I was curious, Marvin gave those figures, MS. TRUMBLE: 39 you know, in the 85 and 86,000 and then they say they went down 40 to 55,000, Paul says, you know, they estimate 105,000, what can 41 be done to that survey at this point?

42 43

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I don't know. I was hoping in looking 44 at the -- we were totally unhappy with the survey process that 45 they used on the caribou. I think it's fair for us to look at 46 what they use for the count and how they developed that count 47 to see if we have some important input that might not be found, 48 such as we have with the caribou herd. That's my thought.

49 50

I believe -- yes, Melvin, you were next.

5

7

15 16

19 20

22 23

24 25

36 37

44

MR. SMITH: Yeah, what I was going to say was like you said earlier, maybe we ought to have the guys who do the survey at our next meeting, you know, so we can get the numbers on record or whatever so we know. Or maybe have some of the guys that do the survey come to our meeting.

MS. TRUMBLE: That was another question I had. I don't  $8\,\,$  know if I was talking to Greg or somebody yesterday, is if 9 these communities can get at least some kind of outline on how 10 these surveys are being done because they know a lot more of 11 where these resources are than the people that are doing these 12 surveys. And they should be able to look at how this process 13 is and be able to give their input because, what's happening 14 now is only directly hurting them, hurting us.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: That's what I would hope would be the 17 end result, working together on that might bring some more 18 recognition and more information to both sides. Vince.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Well, I guess my question is, on the 21 goose hunt here in Izembek, there is no subsistence, right?

> MR. ELEY: That's correct.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: What would it take to get subsistence 26 priority over the hunting that's going on here now so that the 27 communities don't have to sit back and when the threshold limit 28 goes down, say five years from now then everybody -- you know, 29 the communities are the first ones to be shut down. That's the 30 way historically things go. So they can get the big game 31 hunters out here and the big names out here to do -- take a 32 shot and a picture of them getting a goose, I mean, at the 33 expense of two or 3,000 Aleuts. I mean is there a way that we 34 can -- if we have to start a C&T or what is it we have to do to 35 get subsistence as a priority in the goose hunting out here?

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Well, I believe the first step has 38 been taken, correct me if I'm wrong, is that's what the 39 gathering was of the Migratory Bird Treaty to address this 40 subsistence to which the President just recently did sign, but 41 yet has to be concurred with by Congress. I believe them are 42 the steps that are being taken as we speak so we can go ahead 43 and bring some new ideas to the issue.

45 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Wouldn't some kind of action by this 46 Council to the Board to reinforce that issue rather than, you 47 know, wait for it to -- for a yes or no. I mean from this 48 Council is where it starts, from community input and then it 49 moves up the chain until it gets some kind of level of 50 priority. I mean this is going to take three to four years,

```
0182
  but if we wait for that word to come down, which may be a year
  from now. I mean even if they're meeting now doesn't mean
  they're making a decision. What I'm saying is we have to
  institute some sort of action that we are concerned and that we
5
  would like to see it happen. I mean we got to start someplace.
6
7
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I guess we could only address it as a
8 concern that's been brought back to us as it is under the
9 Migratory Bird Act and out of our jurisdiction.
10
11
                         The jurisdiction.
           MR. EDENSHAW:
12
13
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: But I am hopeful that the.....
14
15
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: That doesn't mean we can't.....
16
17
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: .....testimonies like this.....
18
19
           MR. CRATTY: Make a motion.
20
21
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: .....and you know, it's out of our
22 frame work, I guess is what I'm saying, Vince.
23
24
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: But that doesn't mean that we can't
25 address it as....
26
27
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Right. But I feel that.....
28
29
          MR. TUTIAKOFF: ....a Council.
30
31
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: .....these letters from folks that are
32 out here living it would be the most highest support.
33 some light you look like you're dancing to share some
34 information.
35
36
          MR. BRELSFORD: I think you've made the point that
37 Title VIII of ANILCA does not include migratory birds or marine
38 mammals, so the Federal Subsistence Board has no direct
39 regulatory jurisdiction on these species.
40
41
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Amend ANILCA or add it.
42
43
           MR. BRELSFORD: Okay, I'm betting on you Vince.
44
45
           MR. ELEY: One of the thoughts that you.....
46
47
           MR. BRELSFORD: But you can....
48
49
           MR. ELEY: ....bring them under when we -- if in fact,
50 the amendments pass, one of the thoughts is to bring the
```

> 41 42

43 44

45 46

47

regulation process through you folks.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Right.

MR. ELEY: So you would have an opportunity. You could set seasons that would be subsistence seasons, maybe before the normal seasons start now.

9 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Well, that's what I'm asking, can that 10 happen?

12 MR. ELEY: That would be a possibility -- that 13 certainly would be a possibility if the amendments are passed.

MR. BRELSFORD: So the primary channel here is the 16 amendments to the Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Sure.

MR. BRELSFORD: That's the legislation, that's the 21 statutory basis. You guys want to be players in that. You 22 want to have a role in that. There are several ways to go 23 about that, Mark, individual letters from communities with 24 their concerns is one way. You guys have the annual report, 25 which is directed to the Board and you have the opportunity to 26 speak on any subsistence related question, not just the ones 27 directly under the Federal Subsistence Board's jurisdiction. 28 So like next year's report, you could continue to be more 29 specific about what the problems are for migratory bird 30 hunting, subsistence traditions in the Aleut region. 31 lot of the testimony that's been given here today is far more 32 pointed, more detailed than the things that have been in your 33 annual report in previous years. So I would suggest that the 34 annual report is another avenue to try and get this concern 35 before the Federal Board and forwarded on to the teams working 36 on implementation of the.....

MR. ELEY: And there are Alaska Natives that are on the 39 team working on the amendment. Myron Naneng up in Bethel is 40 one.

MR. BRELSFORD: David Eluska from Kodiak.....

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Right.

MR. BRELSFORD: .....is on that.

48 MR. ELEY: Yes, is another one. Jonathan Solomon from 49 up in the Interior. So I would contact these individuals as 50 well. I mean they're sort of your lobbyist, if you will.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I would say that this was one of the concerns and why we asked what our annual report's doing for us. We were upset that we weren't getting a response to the annual report. But of course, the first time that we did bring this up in the annual report, just to give you what the response was, it was out of our jurisdiction. But we have made, I feel, when I look at this, this is moving a lot faster than I had ever expected even though not speedy to my desire. But it is moving well, I feel. Al.

10 11

MR. CRATTY: Well, when you're talking in these areas 12 here too, let's include Kodiak. Because the emperor geese is a 13 subsistence matter there, too.

14 15

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Marvin Huff, please.

16 17

MR. HUFF: I've read this deal that the President has 18 so called signed. But it mentions the Aleuts in there, but 19 once again, we're left out because they're mostly just talking 20 about a spring hunt. We don't get the spring hunt, we get a 21 fall hunt.

22 23

23 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: These are the important issues, I feel 24 that....

2526

MR. HUFF: Yeah.

27 28

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: .....you could highlight for us.

29 30

MR. HUFF: So all that legislation does is it leaves us 31 out once again. You know, we don't want the birds in the 32 spring time really, we want them now while they're good eating. 33 And this is how -- the way we've been getting them for 34 thousands of years.

35 36

36 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: This is when I say, you know, letters 37 to us, these are the kind of detail I'm hoping to see in these 38 letters so that we can back you on these issues, whatever they 39 may be.

40

MR. EDENSHAW: I think to move things along, just as 42 Tom suggested, I think it's not out of the question for the 43 Council though, I think you were talking — some of the others 44 were talking about having a meeting in Anchorage, but I think 45 it would be very helpful — really good just to have someone 46 from Migratory Birds speak. Because you know, we're hearing 47 some, I think, some good information from the people here and 48 it's just going to get all lost up and jumbled. And if we were 49 to have a working session with the Council members here and the 50 other public from the region who were in Anchorage, if that's

where we're going to meet, then we'd be able to sit down and write these things out on our flip chart if we had a working 3 session with Migratory Birds and the Council would get 4 educated, you know, what's this process. Because just as 5 Vincent says, when this proposal came through in the annual 6 report, I did some digging through myself about the Migratory 7 Bird Treaty Act, and it's a very lengthy process, but with -- I 8 think with the information that the Council members are 9 presenting here this morning, I think that's one way to, you 10 know, get the ball going. Because just as Vince said, it's a 11 lengthy process. But, you know, with us sitting here and 12 talking about the census, seasons, bag limits, I think 13 something like that could be ironed out with Mimi or with some 14 other individual who works with her in Migratory Birds, in the 15 regional office in Anchorage.

16 17

17 MR. ELEY: And knowing the people that work in 18 Migratory Birds, they're not going to disregard information 19 provided by local people. They have a lot of respect for it 20 and appreciate it.

2122

MR. GUNDERSON: There are two names with the Fed 23 department, that's Bob Gill, who has been the head of this 24 program for all these number of years and a guy that does the 25 actual field work is Paul Finch, and they're with the Fed 26 division out of Anchorage. They would be the bird experts, I 27 would imagine on the emperor geese department.

28 29

MR. ELEY: We'll figure out who they are and have them all there or as many as we can, you bet.

31 32

32 MR. GUNDERSON: Yeah. They're a good group of people, 33 I've worked with them.

34 35

MR. ELEY: Yeah, you were telling me last night.

36 37

MR. GUNDERSON: I've talked with them quite a bit.

38 39

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Robert.

40

41 MR. STOVALL: I'm just curious, maybe this should go to 42 Taylor, but can the Council make recommendations to the Flyaway 43 Council about changing seasons and bags?

44 45

MR. ELEY: To the State. It has to go to the State.

46 47

MR. EDENSHAW: To the State.

48 49

MR. STOVALL: To the State?

50

MR. ELEY: Certainly they could.

MR. STOVALL: Okay.

MR. ELEY: I mean anybody can. I mean you can take a proposal to the State, the State then forwards it on to the Flyaway Commission. It's not an impossible process. But if you get the refuge involved with it, that's going to be another ali to help you get it through the bureaucratic maze. And that's what happened here with Greg working with the Canada goose, was that he helped get over some of the blocks -- blocks that are there and the difficulties that are there.

I think you will find that the people on the refuges, in general, the people at Fish and Wildlife Service, are not going to be obstructionists to it, you know, they're willing to 17 listen to local people and what they have to say about 18 resources and appreciate the local knowledge. And they'll do 19 what they can and then point out when you can't do it. Some 20 things are just not going to happen, and I think we need to be 21 honest to people, too, and say, you know, the chances of 22 killing grizzly bear are probably zero. So work with the local 23 refuge folks.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: On that issue here, you can certainly take this a lot of distance and time, but it still has to go through process. Therefore, I feel that we have requested then at the next meeting to have representation from the Migratory Birds, that we might be able to hold a good discussion and have some kind of outcome of action with them. So with that, I'm going to conclude the bird, geese hunting.

And to try to move on to -- I believe we got the Task Force and Subsistence Board restructure.

36 MR. BRELSFORD: I'm going to maybe cut that one a 37 little short, Mark, because of the priority of the time.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Please do.

MR. BRELSFORD: And I guess on that annual report, if 42 you guys have some additional input to Cliff in preparing that 43 report, additional input could be forwarded to Cliff between 44 now and the winter meeting and then he could come back to you 45 with a draft.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Originals.

MR. BRELSFORD: Originals, that's right, man. Cliff is 50 like a secretary, he doesn't deal with less than originals.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Now, that's original.

MR. BRELSFORD: Just to kind of get this started, the Regional Councils -- two Regional Councils in the northern part of the State and all of the Regional Council Chairs have forwarded some suggestions that the Federal Subsistence Board be changed to have more subsistence user representation on the Board level, the final decision making level. So that's kind of the beginning of this, what started this ball rolling.

10 11

Most recently, this was an item taken up by Mark and the other Regional Council Chairs in a work session with the 13 Federal Subsistence Board in April of this year, April 7th, 14 1997. From that there was an agreement to convene a task force that would kind of work out the details of this and you'll see 16 that the task force includes the Chairman, Mitch Demientieff, a 17 Council Chair from Southeast, Bill Thomas and then two Board 18 members, Jim Caplan from the Forest Service and Dave Allen from 19 the Fish and Wildlife, and they wanted to look at the legal 20 obstacles or frame work and options. They were going to be a 21 task force that would gather information and then put it out 22 for further discussion. So that's what I'm offering to you 23 today, is kind of the status to date of the task force's work 24 on restructuring.

25

26 In this meeting, they emphasized a couple of things. I 27 think many of the Council members realize that Regional 28 Councils like this come under a Federal law called the Federal 29 Advisory Committee Act, and like the authorities and decision 30 making responsibilities and public notice standards, all that 31 kind of stuff is established in this Federal Advisory Committee 32 Act, so they wanted to kind of take that into account. And 33 then they actually did some research on alternative wildlife 34 management board structures in Canada and other species groups 35 in Alaska and so on. They felt they had to work within two key 36 constraints. One, is that Federal law requires that rules, 37 regulations be made by Federal employees. The government 38 cannot delegate to non-Federal employees, final decision making 39 authority or regulatory authority. So some work around would 40 have to be developed in order for volunteers, not employees to 41 serve on the Board itself. And this has been done so far in 42 one case, the Chairman of the Board is a non-Federal employee, 43 Mitch Demientieff and Willy Hensley before him, they don't work 44 full-time for the Federal government and what they did as a 45 compromise was to make them intermittent Federal employees. 46 that during the time that Mitch serves as the Board chairman, 47 he is on payroll as a Federal employee for that time. That's 48 the way that this delegation of authority problem could be 49 worked around, but it becomes much more significant as you add 50 more and more people to the Federal Subsistence Board. I guess

Mitch was pretty concerned that if he -- he wanted to be sure the Councils understood that making too much of a -- reaching too far in having non-employees working on the Board as the regulatory decision makers would raise a political ruckus and it could result in the whole thing kind of being put down and the Board could become advisory as a consequence rather than being the final decision maker. so the point only is that delegation of regulatory authority is a pretty sensitive matter in the Federal government and Mitch wanted to be sure that the alternatives to the Board structure don't make more trouble than benefit.

13 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: One second here. You know, that's the 14 whole key thing here is to have to be a Federal employee to be 15 able to pass regulation?

MR. BRELSFORD: Right.

19 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I mean that's kind of backwards, they 20 say in Russia, shleper (ph), they're doing things backwards. 21 This is supposed to be grassroots, this is supposed to be the 22 decision of the people and input from the people.

I think if we look at this there has got to be alternative ways that can be handled. I think they're taking the wrong approach on that. And I guess I must say that when we, as chairs of all the Councils recognize these issues, we got together as the Council chairs and we were told we could not be recognized and couldn't hold our meetings. But I see at this point they are addressing us, so we are melding into the system, I guess. To what extent is yet to be determined, I am aware of that. So I just wanted to bring up that I don't know how anybody else would feel about that, if only Federal employees, doggone it, I pay taxes, doesn't that make me a federal employee?

MR. BRELSFORD: Let me show you the alternatives, then lets get the input on the whole package. What this task force came up with was three alternatives, one is like no action, leave it as it is. The other would be to add one Regional Council Chair nominated from among the 10 Council Chairs. And this is actually -- the second one is actually the alternative that the Council Chairs asked for in April of '97. That was like their motion to the Board. The last one would be the existing Board plus one subsistence user and one more representative nominated by the Governor so that the State and Fed -- there would be some direct representation of the State system on the Federal Subsistence Board. Those are the three alternatives that the Task Force came up with. And to a large extent those constraints, that I mentioned a second ago kind of

5

15 16

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

44 45

49 50

narrowed the range of options that the Task Force had.

The next step from here is the Regional Councils are 4 being asked to comment on this question, so we'd like your input and we could mark it up on a flip-chart and have that go forward from all 10 Councils, feedback, to the Task Force. 7 Task Force will meet again to take into consideration the 8 comments, the discussion about these options. And then finally 9 the Task Force will make a recommendation to the Board. I 10 quess the Task Force wants us to be sure and say they will not 11 make recommendations that would be detrimental. They want to 12 have something that's a constructive change, not one that's 13 going to run a lot of political risks or weaken the role of the 14 subsistence users down the road. So that's the pitch.

Now, if we could get your comments and analysis or 17 questions about those three alternatives, we can pass that on 18 to the Task Force for additional work.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: So real quickly.....

MR. CRATTY: But the alternatives back on there.

MR. BRELSFORD: Yeah, let me leave that one up for....

26 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I'd like to give a quick overview how 27 this even transpired and what brang (sic) this to the action to 28 where we are. Number one, as we looked at the -- how effective 29 and the process was working for us with the Board, we found the 30 lion's share of our time, unfortunately, was in discussion and 31 for lack of a better term, educating the Federal Board of the 32 what's, when's, why's, how do we use it, you know, the many 33 different reasons of the seasons and things of this nature to 34 try to get some of our requests passed. But we were not being 35 focused on that because we had to spend way to much time 36 explaining what problems were created, such as times of the 37 season and -- no input basically. Also then we looked at the 38 structure of the Board as it was originally set out to be and 39 it addressed that also as the Board shall be of rural residents 40 with first hand knowledge of the resource. By identifying and 41 saying that, we thought this might enhance a lot of unnecessary 42 time spent at the Board level between the Councils and the 43 Board.

So that is what kind of pushed us into, we need to see 46 a restructure. I don't know if I consider it a restructure 47 when originally there was supposed to be the structure of rural 48 residents with firsthand knowledge.

MR. BRELSFORD: That's not right, Mark. Councils --

3

4 5

6 7

9 10 what you describe is the statutory provisions about Councils.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I know that's....

MR. BRELSFORD: That's not so in regard to the Board.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Well, I will just have to bring you 8 the booklet that we used.....

> MR. BRELSFORD: Sure.

11 12

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: .....to bring that issue again 13 identifying the process of the startup of it until a time that 14 is reached. I just want to share with you how we as 10 Council 15 Chairs addressed it and understanding that side, and it did 16 take some information digging, without a doubt. So anyway, 17 that's what brought us to the start of the Council Chairs and 18 we just had an explanation where we are at.

19 20

Vince, yes.

21 22

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Well, what is the criteria for a 23 Federal Board member? I mean I see the list here. Were those 24 mandated through ANILCA or were they through a separate act?

25 26

MR. BRELSFORD: It's in the regulations implementing 27 Title VIII.

28 29

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Well, the reason I'm asking is, we seem 30 -- the whole thing came about is because the people that are 31 making the decisions for Alaska regarding subsistence are non-32 subsistence users and.....

33 34

MR. BRELSFORD: Right.

35

MR. TUTIAKOFF: .....that was the problem we had from 37 day one. I questioned their ability to make a decision based 38 on subsistence early on because some of these people were 39 actually hired to sit or move into that position on the Federal 40 Board in the beginning. And the majority of them had not been 41 involved with subsistence and it was like a training program 42 for about a year for them. It was very hard for us to get 43 anything through to try and beat some deadlines that we had to 44 meet early on in this process, so we lost out and we're back to 45 fighting them all over again.

46

47 The existing Board, as it sits right now, even by 48 adding one Regional Council, basically -- and I hate to use the 49 term, you're just -- we're just saying to the Board is that we 50 want one token individual there. That individual is not going

8

9 10

to impact that Board. My opinion is that if you're not going to change the structure of the existing Board, don't add another one to it, it's not going to help. You know, that one person is not going to influence three people to change a vote. You know, and because he is a subsistence user is not going to 6 have an impact on their decision. It's either they should all be subsistence users or they all -- or you won't have any kind of a real positive impact to Alaska users.

That's been my argument with them early on and it still 11 is right now. And when I go and sit and make -- like I've done 12 before, a couple of times with Mark, we made a presentation, we 13 made a report to them, it was like they sit there and listen, 14 okay, next. No comment, no nothing. No question, no answer, 15 and it was just like, well, hell, I could have sent that in the 16 mail instead of spending three days to do a five minute 17 presentation, you know, and I could have been home doing 18 something else, subsistence fishing or doing something instead 19 of sitting in Anchorage. And that was my concern, that was my 20 -- I was totally, you know, not very happy with the process, 21 with the people that are being represented. I'm still not. 22 mean by adding one person I wouldn't go for. Adding an 23 existing member and asking the Governor to appoint somebody, 24 that's got to be wrong.

> MR. CRATTY: Yeah.

26 27 28

25

Then you'd put a sports fisherman in MR. TUTIAKOFF: 29 there or something.....

30 31

MR. CRATTY: That's the way I see it.

32 33

MR. TUTIAKOFF: .....and we'd be right out on our hind-34 end again.

35 36

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Here again, I look at it too and I try 37 to find some consistency. When I say that, I look at the State 38 Board. To be on the State Board, do you have to be a State 39 employee? And they're holding the biggest bag on us right now. 40 I mean this is why I'm trying to look at the fairness of 41 judgment call.

42

43 MR. TUTIAKOFF: We need to do what we -- practice what 44 we preach from the Federal level, is get the communities 45 involved. Let them, maybe through election process or whatever 46 to a staggered term system, put our own people on this Board, 47 we're not going to be any more critical than the existing Board 48 is on our own people. We know the resource is there for us to 49 use and if we overuse it it's not going to be there. We 50 understand that and we've known that for thousands of years.

0192 You don't have to go to college to learn that. 3 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: In fact, they don't teach that in 4 college. Al, did you have..... 5 6 MR. CRATTY: Yeah, I feel the same way as Vince in 7 I don't agree with the Governor nominated anybody 8 because he's going to nominate a sports fisherman, you know, somebody that doesn't do any subsistence. That's the way I 10 feel, you look at all the words that the State's got, it's like 11 the commercial fishermen, they aren't involved in any of the 12 commercial fishing. 13 14 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: These are certainly points that the 15 Council Chairs have looked at from all sides and it is just now 16 looking out, I guess, out of the closet, if you will and 17 starting to be addressed. 18 19 MR. TUTIAKOFF: It's been said in the hallways a lot. 20 21 MR. CRATTY: Oh, yeah. 22 23 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: And so at this point, I don't know, 24 Taylor, are we looking for some action on this? 25 26 MR. BRELSFORD: Well, I think the key was input. 27 I think, you know, if there are additional comments we should 28 get them on the chart, but I saw a lot of heads nodding so it 29 seems as though these were strongly held views by many of the 30 Council members. The purpose of the discussion is input. 31 you want to read in the regulations how the Federal Board is 32 structured and what the membership requirements are, it is in 33 the manual and the next page talks about the membership 34 requirements for Regional Council members. But the purpose 35 here was not to debate, it was..... 36 37 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Right. 38 39 MR. BRELSFORD: .....really to get your guys input and 40 views on it. 41 42 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: And I think our intent.... 43 44 MR. CRATTY: You already got good input. 45 46 MR. BRELSFORD: Yes, you're points are focused and 47 strongly felt. 48 49 MR. CRATTY: We're okay.

50

1 2

3

MR. BRELSFORD: And that's the purpose.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: There certainly is -- there's a lot 4 more discussion that was brought before this restructure that's 5 not in our materials at this point, but only focusing on this 6 one side here that will effect even the Councils. So I hope at 7 this time -- these are all 10 Regional Council Chairs working 8 together on this, and really looking at it in depth and to what 9 it's going to take and hopefully at this next meeting, we'll 10 have more information from all regions. And I feel then we can 11 more properly bring it before our councils to get some sense of 12 direction.

13 14

So I believe Melvin was first here, Vince.

15 16

MR. SMITH: Yeah, how is the Federal Board chosen? Are 17 they appointed by the Secretary also?

18 19

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: It's....

20 21

MR. SMITH: No?

22 23

MR. BRELSFORD: Mr. Chairman, it's laid out in the 24 regulations, the implementing regs, and they're found in the 25 reference section of your manual.

26 27

MR. SMITH: Oh, okay.

28 29

MR. BRELSFORD: So it says, program structure, Federal 30 Subsistence Board, the Secretaries hereby delegate 31 responsibility to this Board. And then it says, the voting 32 membership of the Board shall consist of a chair appointed by 33 the Secretary of Interior with the concurrence of the Secretary 34 of Ag, the Alaska Regional Director of the Fish and Wildlife 35 Service, the Alaska Regional Director of the Park Service....

36 37

MR. SMITH: Oh, I see, yeah.

38 39

MR. BRELSFORD: .....all five agencies.

40 41

MR. SMITH: I see.

43 44

42

MR. BRELSFORD: So that's laid out in law.

45

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: And certainly I need to bring it --46 from where I see the difference and from where the Chairs have 47 seen the difference and why it was even brought, so at this 48 time I certainly don't want to do anything against the will of 49 the Chairs on this issue until we have formally agreed upon 50 certain issues.

1

2

3 5 7

11 12

23 24

26 27 28

29 30

31

34 35

37 39

36

43 44

40

45

46 48

49 50 Now, Vince.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Well, I'd like to bring up alternative four for recommendation to bring before the -- this would go to the Chairs of all the Councils, right, for recommendation? That the Subsistence Council Chairmans of all the regions --Advisory Councils vote for six members -- a six member board 8 which shall be subsistence users. With that, three Federal 9 agency representatives with staggered terms. So you'd end up 10 with a nine member board.

The reasoning for this is because what I felt from day 13 one is that any decision made on the Federal Board level 14 impacts the subsistence users. Subsistence users should then 15 be a part of that decision making process, and not just make a 16 presentation and hope for the best. I mean with the three 17 Federal agencies, whoever they may be, you know, I'm not saying 18 who they should be, you have six members or five -- they could 19 start with three -- their first three and they have staggered 20 terms, the other two would jump in there and another one gets 21 reelected, well, they'd all be part of the process, but they 22 won't be voting members.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I think that's a very good 25 alternative, Vince. That's a good one to bring.

> MR. CRATTY: I do too.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: That could very much help.....

MR. TUTIAKOFF: And it's elected by all the Council 32 Chairs, you know, one chair, one vote. I mean you'll get six 33 good individual people, you know.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Yeah, the Federal employees.....

MR. TUTIAKOFF: In Alaska there must be six that have -38 - who can make a decision.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: That would give us good input on -- I 41 like that. Is this something that the Council would like to 42 adopt then?

> MR. CRATTY: Yes.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: I so move to adopt for our 47 recommendation to the Regional Chair Council.

MR. CRATTY: I second.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Moved and seconded. 2 questions? 3 4 MR. CRATTY: No. 5 6 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Discussion. 7 8 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Question. 9 10 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: All those in favor. 11 12 IN UNISON: Aye. 13 14 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Those opposed. 15 16 (No opposing responses) 17 18 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Thank you. 19 20 MR. TUTIAKOFF: I made that so we can move on. 21 22 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: That's the kind of action we're 23 looking for. Can we take a five minute break here. 24 25 (Off record) 26 (On record) 27 28 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Okay, we're back in session here, 29 please. I would just like to request here that before 30 everybody departs here and walks out the door, I would very 31 much appreciate if we could all get together to have a picture 32 taken of everybody at the meeting here. If you would please 33 honor me with that to give me one second -- at least a 34 photograph of those attending for whatever. 35 36 MS. TRUMBLE: Is that like for physical proof? 37 38 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Documentation. Well, at any rate, I 39 guess we would like to go ahead and move on to Section D here, 40 memorandum of agreement under Tab H. I guess Mr. Eley's going 41 to take over that. 42 43 MR. ELEY: Yes, I guess I'm doing this. This is Tom 44 Eley from Fish and Wildlife Service. In 1996, the Alaska 45 Department of Fish and Game sent a letter to the Federal 46 Subsistence Board proposing the development of a MOA, MOA means

47 memorandum of agreement basically to improve the working

50 and have us work closer with them so that things would be

48 relationship and the effectiveness of both the Federal and the 49 State subsistence programs. They wanted to work closer with us

0195

0196 smoother.

25

35 36

38

3 Well, this letter went to the Board. The Board thought 4 about it and they decided the goal of improved coordination 5 between the Federal and State programs is a good idea. 6 weren't exactly sure what the State was looking for, so they 7 appointed a Task Force, that's going to meet with -- or has met 8 with the State to improve efforts. And one thing I just might 9 mention is that all the agencies have memorandums of agreement 10 with the Department of Fish and Game. The Fish and Wildlife 11 Service already does for working together on various issues. 12 The Board endorsed the concept and a Federal/State working 13 group was formed. The State working group were the people that 14 Elizabeth Andrews mentioned yesterday, a representative from 15 their subsistence division, wildlife conservation, sport fish 16 and com fish and Elizabeth. The Federal members of this 17 working group is Greg Bos from the Division of Subsistence 18 Management for the Fish and Wildlife Service. Peggy Fox from 19 Bureau of Land Management. Ken Thompson from the Forest 20 Service. And Sandy Rabinowitch from the Park Service. 21 they've met with the State and some of the benefits that 22 they've seen for closer coordination would be reduce the 23 confusion and conflicts between the two programs. 24

We have two sets of regulations when you go out 26 hunting, you know, we need to some way make these simpler for 27 people to utilize and understand to improve wildlife 28 information presented to Councils. And to improve the proposal 29 analysis. I mean yesterday you came up with questions about, 30 you know, what happened on the State survey, and we need to be 31 able to answer these sorts of questions. So maybe this MOA 32 would provide a vehicle for which the Council could get better 33 information and be better able to analyze the proposals as well 34 as us in the Federal government.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: We might want to take a picture so we 37 can show the degree of importance?

39 MR. ELEY: So many ideas have come up and they're still 40 working together is the closer alignment of the State and 41 Federal proposal cycles. And they haven't -- these are sort of 42 just ideas, they haven't sat down and worked on the nuts and 43 bolts of it, but it is just some ideas they've come up with. 44 Closer coordination of subsistence research surveys and 45 studies. Fish and Game advisory committee representation at 46 the Regional Council meetings so that people from the various 47 advisory committees -- like the Interior, the Eastern and 48 Western Interior, they have eight or 10 sometimes advisory 49 committees that interact and they need to be able to present 50 their points of view.

Joint production of a regulation booklet and other public informational material, which it sounds like a good idea, I don't know how it can be done. But nonetheless, it's a good idea. Fish and Game assistance in preparation of review of proposals. Fish and Game representation and staff committees, coordination plans for joint subsistence managing planning efforts. So these are just some of the ideas that have come up. There have been other ones that have been submitted. I think that this agreement could provide a vehicle to get the game biologists or the area biologist to the Regional Council meetings.

12 13

I, personally, and this is not speaking for the Fish and Wildlife Service, but I, personally think, that the area biologists should be here just like the refuge manager should be here or the park superintendent or their representatives, you know, they should be here to answer questions. Because these resources don't just stay within the refuge. They run out there on State lands or corporation lands and so you need to everybody and all the expertise there to get the best picture possible.

22 23

Well, what's going to happen with all this? Well, we're going to ask you in just a second for any ideas that you 55 might have for better Federal/State coordination. And we're going to pass these ideas on to the Task Force, and then the 27 Task Force will consider these ideas, develop a strategy — a coordination strategy which ultimately will go back to the 29 Regional Councils and to the Board for review, and then a 30 decision made on whether an MOA, in fact, is the proper tool. 31 Can we agree enough to come up with an MOA or what's going to 32 happen.

33 34

34 So basically what we're looking for is, and this is 35 your assignment for today, ways to improve coordination between 36 the State and Federal programs and how to bring these programs 37 closer together. Certainly everybody has a lot of ideas here 38 about all sorts of things, and maybe you could provide us with 39 some additional ones we haven't thought about.

40 41

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Well, I still say that it's kind of 42 unanimous that we look at the cooperative management, you know. 43 We manage, they cooperate. Here's your chance, how to improve 44 better communications between....

45 46

46 MR. CRATTY: Well, I think the State's got to 47 acknowledge the subsistence user more than what it's doing.

48

MS. TRUMBLE: I think one important thing, and it's something we've all recently put up with, is they definitely

need to coordinate their surveys together with the local communities.

3 4

MR. GUNDERSON: Um-hum.

5 6 7

8

MS. TRUMBLE: That's one I think that's a major thing. MR. ELEY: In some areas they do. I'll tell you that

in Galena, the State and Federal surveys were done together and 10 there was really good coordination. Other areas there's not 11 good coordination. And I think this agreement could be a tool 12 that could force that, I think you're absolutely right.

13 14

MS. TRUMBLE: With local people.

15 16

MR. SMITH: Local participation, yes.

17 18

With local people, absolutely. MR. ELEY:

19 20

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Right.

21 22

MR. ELEY: Absolutely.

23 24

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: That's what I was going to look at, 25 too, is it seems to me that the surveys and how they were 26 conducted seem to have run into a conflict and I feel that by 27 either, like you say, having -- why does there need to be two 28 surveys done? Could not part of the Council, as we have it, be 29 with them and their process of their surveys and vice versa. 30 think that would bring it closer together before they bring a 31 survey in, they might take in consideration, and come to a 32 uniform agreement on the survey, rather than have two surveys 33 so far apart.

34 35

MS. TRUMBLE: I think also that we keep hearing this 36 funding is something that -- if they do it jointly, it's going 37 to help with this funding issue because that's always an 38 excuse, we don't have funding. It should allow for more work 39 if they do it jointly.

40 41

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Here again, I must say, that so far as 42 I've been involved with this program, that has not been an 43 issue at this point, as odd as it may sound. I don't feel that 44 we have....

45 46

MS. TRUMBLE: Why do we hear that as an excuse?

47

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: From the Federal side?

48 49 50

MS. TRUMBLE: Not from the Federal side, from the State

0199 side.

39 40

47 48

49 50

3 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Right. That's what I'm saying. State side has always used that funding as a lack of being able 5 to give good survey reports. I guess I should say, we feel that is one of the reasons they do not come up with good 7 surveys because of lack of funding, always being at the top of 8 Sure, Vince. the list.

10 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Well, one of the issues, it gets down 11 to what is supplied to the actual user is the hiring of the 12 people within the region, rather than bringing people from --13 that are college students working on their biology degree or 14 whatever and basically sampling the culture and the use and not 15 really putting input into the region. In other words, they're 16 there specifically, they're hired by Fish and Game or Wish and 17 Wildlife, whatever agency, and their job -- and they're told 18 when they get out there so the region is that, your job is to 19 do this, this and this and report directly to us, not to 20 anybody else. I mean that needs to come -- they need to work 21 out some kind of arrangement where the local people who are in 22 the area, whatever region you're at, be hired. Be the guides, 23 be the people that are gathering information for them. 24 it worked well at Atka, under the Fish and Wildlife Salmon 25 Survey program several years ago. They utilized local people. 26 For years before that they brought in people, and the survey 27 wasn't complete, because the people did not understand maybe 28 the areas. They didn't know where to look for the salmon, and 29 it caused -- it took a longer time, they spent more money to do 30 the survey. And about two or three years ago they utilized the 31 local people and they were done within a month. Whereas, 32 before it would take them April to September to do one area, 33 where the guys that were local to the area knew where to go, 34 knew the weather -- what kind of weather is going to blow up 35 and they'd get out of that area and go to another area and be 36 protected and still doing their job. I mean those are things 37 that need to be taken into account and used, the people from 38 the region, local knowledge.

MR. ELEY: In other regions that is being accomplished. 41 People are getting involved. But I know that in the interior 42 and the North Slope and the northwest, that certainly is the 43 case that there are a lot of local hires, local guides. 44 Interior we had a goose survey and the goose survey was 45 developed, the track that we used was developed by working with 46 local people and finding areas that they recommended.....

> MR. TUTIAKOFF: Then maybe we need to.....

MR. ELEY: .....that were good goose areas that we

0200 surveyed. 3 MR. TUTIAKOFF: We need to see it happen more in our region then, that's all I'm saying. You know, in most cases, 5 the local people will do it because they feel that it's part of 6 their life. 7 8 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Social responsibility. 9 10 MR. TUTIAKOFF: They'll do it and they won't take money 11 for the job, you know, a lot of times. They just want to be 12 part of it knowing that it's important to their culture and 13 their use. They'll do it for nothing. 14 15 MR. ELEY: Be careful. 16 17 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Maybe Mark can -- but if they pay for 18 the gas, I don't care, I'll do it. 19 20 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Well, that's some good input right 21 there within itself, I feel. Certainly..... 22 23 MR. TUTIAKOFF: So these here have been identified as 24 issues. You've got about six or seven there. 25 26 MR. ELEY: That's some earlier issues that came up, you 27 bet. 28 29 MR. TUTIAKOFF: They kind of cover my feelings and I --30 I don't know if you want them listed there, but these should 31 be.... 32 33 MR. ELEY: No, no, we have those. 34 35 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Okay. 36 37 MR. ELEY: You know, if any others that people might 38 have. I mean sometimes you can't see the forest for the trees 39 and it helps to have someone else look at it and say, hey.... 40 41 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Not out here. 42 43 MR. ELEY: There's some forest out here, we saw some. 44 45 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: As we discuss this, I think the one 46 thing that comes to my mind, what kind of input does -- what 47 does the Federal staff, how do they see -- how can things be 48 worked.... 49 50 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Improved with the State?

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: .....better with the State?

MR. WILLIS: I guess the first thing that comes to my mind is one of the first things that Tom said, and that is to get the local biologists to the meetings. And I've recommended in the past buy them a plane ticket, I mean you know that's \$634 to come here from Anchorage and I guess if you're in King Salmon you got to go back to Anchorage to get back out here sometimes. So this has come up. We do give some money to ADF&G, and it's several thousands of dollars. Exactly what it is, I don't know, but at the present time all that goes to the Division of Subsistence within the State. And so Fish and Wildlife Conservation, where the biologists are doesn't get any funding from us directly, and this is one of the things they have asked for which I agree with because I'd like to see them attend all these meetings.

17

18 But I've got to tell you, they sure take a beating at 19 the meetings they come to, and that is something that the 20 Councils can improve on; and that's how these people are 21 treated when they do come to the meetings. The guys at the 22 field level are dedicated professional biologists. You know, 23 they're not bureaucrats sitting in a high office somewhere and 24 working in politics. They're in this business just like I am 25 because they care about the resources and that's why they spend 26 their life at it. And I would love to get them to the meetings 27 because they have a lot of information I don't have. You know, 28 I'm one man covering a big area and I depend on them for 29 information. Refuge managers, park rangers, State biologists, 30 everybody, you know, as well as the Council members. 31 to see them come to meetings and provide direct input. 32 really think they could get a better reception than they do 33 though. And that's not -- I'm not talking about just this 34 Council, but all the Council's that I work with. Not all the 35 Councils are that way, some of the Interior Councils have 36 worked with these people for years and they've had better 37 programs, you know, and more close contact and so that's not a 38 factor there. But I know in Southeast where I work it's 39 certainly a factor. The State biologists feel obligated to 40 come, they want to come to meetings and provide some input, but 41 they get treated with a big paint brush, like they're the same 42 as the guy that -- you know, a politician sitting in Juneau, 43 the State is painted with the same huge brush. And I think 44 that turns a lot of them off who come to these meetings, you 45 know.

46

MS. TRUMBLE: I think it's a lack of communication and 48 education and the willingness to work together that has to be 49 on a unified front. Sometimes it's been our experience that 50 doesn't necessarily work. Sometimes it has, in the past,

worked against us, so if people are frustrated, that's why. But I think it's got to come from them, too.

MR. CRATTY: And you got to realize they've got a lot to do with what's happening in the State and our subsistence. I mean they don't want to acknowledge our subsistence. We've got our reasons for being the way we are.

MR. WILLIS: I understand that, Al, and I think.....

MR. TUTIAKOFF: It's not personal.

MR. WILLIS: ....it's one of those situations where
14 you got to realize that you have some differences. You know,
15 people have different mandates, they grew up differently, they
16 have different ideas. But still, we're all -- we've got this
17 one pie that we're trying to work with here, this one resource.
18 And it's being pulled in a lot of different directions, and so
19 we all need to sit down at the same table and look at that pie
20 and say, okay, we don't all agree on everything, but how are we
21 going to protect this. You know, where do we agree, where can
22 we work together and how can we improve things and not
23 concentrate so much on past problems that we've had. That's my
24 personal philosophy on this thing. That and a dollar bill will
25 get you a cup of coffee over here across the street.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Thank you, Robert.

MR. CRATTY: Thank you, Robert.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Thank you, Robert.

33 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I would like to reiterate what he has 34 said, as I've been part of the Council since the inception, and 35 yes it was very derogatory sometimes and very hostile. And I 36 have to agree, at the time we did have more State input at our 37 Council meetings, and I guess when I look at it, since they 38 have not been with our Councils, maybe that's why I noticed not 39 as much hostility. But on the other hand, I have recognized 40 that it seems like the State biologists were so rigid and not 41 willing to listen to the people of the region, and it should 42 not get into that kind of a discussion. I think the biologists 43 should be there to present State biology and try to incorporate 44 common knowledge with biology.

So I am very much, I feel, and I think that the 47 Councils are ready to, once again -- here again, I'm wondering, 48 maybe the State can do its part too and support biologists to 49 our Council meeting as their show of faith to work together 50 with us. I don't see why the Federal government should have to

0203 even by them their ticket. 3 Vince. 4 5 MR. TUTIAKOFF: The wording up there, them and us, kind 6 I think it should -- describe who they are. of bothers me. 7 8 Treat the biologists better? MS. MASON: 9 10 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yeah. 11 12 MR. CRATTY: ADF&G. 13 14 MR. TUTIAKOFF: ADF&G biology. 15 16 MS. MASON: Okay. 17 18 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Whatever. Because it gets down to them 19 and us and right there is confrontational in my mind, you know. 20 You know, every time we sit down with the Fish and Game people. 21 It is them and us. I mean that's their attitude, it's been 22 like that for years and years. It's like it's their property 23 and they're letting us use it, you know, where it should be the 24 other way around; it's our property and we're letting them 25 manage it. But it's gotten turned around over the years. 26 That's why the argument comes up all the time. 27 28 MR. ELEY: I'd just like to comment that I agree with 29 Vince. And the thing that amazes me after attending a lot of 30 these meetings is that we agree more than we disagree. And I 31 think we all, be it the State, the Federal government or the 32 subsistence users, we all want the same things. We all want 33 healthy resources that people can use. And I think we're all 34 going the same way. Yeah, there'll be times we have 35 disagreements, but we can -- we should be able to disagree and 36 then go over and have a beer together and whatever..... 37 38 MR. TUTIAKOFF: As long as they buy. 39 40 That's right. That's part of the State MR. ELEY: 41 responsibility. 42 43 Put that in the record. MR. CRATTY: 44 45 That's the only time you use them and MR. TUTIAKOFF: 46 us. 47 48 Agree to disagree. MR. SMITH: 49 50 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I certainly think it would be more

advantageous to, at least, identify common grounds that we do have. So far we've kind of picked about -- we've picked out how far apart we are on the issues and I'd like to see more what we have in common. Mr. Stovall.

5

MR. STOVALL: I just want to say -- this is Robert
Stovall, Kodiak Refuge, that I think the working relationship
between the State and Kodiak Refuge is extremely good and it
has been good for many, many years. I also want to state that
it'd be really -- an extremely good idea to try and take the
regulation booklets that are produced from the Federal and the
State and meld them together so that people have one area to go
to. They can find out everything they need to go from one
hooklet instead of trying to figure out where to find the other
booklet and have both booklets available at both State and
Federal level areas. Now, there's got to be a way to do that.
And I think as professionals, we all should be able to come up
with a booklet that is readable, short enough and useable for

20

21 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Thank you, Robert. And thanks to 22 computers, I think that that would certainly be alot easier.

Vince.

242526

23

MR. TUTIAKOFF: A good example of that is the duck stamp, you know, there's a Federal and a State. And you have to go four buildings down to the store to buy a duck stamp and then they say, well, you have to go up there and buy a Federal stamp. Years ago you used to buy them in the same place, the post office or the city hall or wherever. And now because of the issues involved, they've totally -- you know, one said, well, we don't deal with that one, but you have to have it, if you don't you'll get arrested. You know, they're simple, easy things to see. But that's what the problem is right now. It's the State over here and the Federal government over here and they're supposed to be together but they're running a parallel track with different rules and regulations. And the timing is all different. And like I said, we need to make them all in line and I think the one book would really help.

41 42

42 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Right. And -- I lost it there for a 43 second.

44 45

45 MR. TUTIAKOFF: We were on the last subject there, I 46 think.

47

MR. CRATTY: You mean adjournment now, Vince?

48 49 50

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yeah.

```
0205
```

MR. GUNDERSON: I think that a lot of the people that are participating in this meeting also participate actively in the advisory capacity for their communities with the fish and wildlife. So it brings some parallel to the programs from the community's part.

6 7

5

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: But right now, as far as the duck 8 stamps it kind of looks like, here again, that they're utilizing the only one international language that there is, 10 that they can understand and that's.....

11 12

MR. TUTIAKOFF: I don't mind paying \$12 if it's just 13 one stamp, that takes care of the State and the Federal. I 14 mean they're going to get their money anyways.

15 16

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Well, I we've had some good input and 17 I'm sure if we spent a long enough time, we could certainly 18 come up with more and I would encourage those thoughts that 19 come along this line to go ahead and to please feel free to 20 ship them to Taylor or to Cliff or even myself, I will, at 21 least make sure I fax a copy.

22 23

Duck stamps are going to go down next year. MR. MACK: 24 A Republican is going to be president.

25 26

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Yeah, they're going to go down because 27 there will be no season. Thank you.

28 29

Okay, moving on, speedily, the next....

30 31

MS. HILE: Mr. Gunderson, could you.....

32 33

MR. GUNDERSON: I can't hide away from her.

34 35

(Off record comments)

36 37

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I'm going to go ahead at this time 38 that -- I feel -- is there anybody that would like anymore 39 information on the government's subsistence Task Force report 40 or AFN/AITC RuralCap Subsistence Summit? Is there anybody that 41 has any question maybe on that? We can go through that and 42 spend time, unnecessary, I.....

43 44

MR. CRATTY: Negative.

45 46

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I feel that the information that is 47 needed....

48

MR. CRATTY: I think we all....

49 50

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: .....I think most people were involved in that process here. But I don't want to toss it aside like it's not important because we did come up with some resolution, whether it's clear to a lot of people or not, there is reason and there was a lot of thought put behind it. But hearing none 6 on that, at any time, we can go ahead and ask any questions that might be -- come to mind on them -- issues. Go on to F, which is the Regional Advisory Council Charter Renewal, and we have Chief Evenclaw here to.....

10 11

5

7

MR. EDENSHAW: Thank you, Mr. Chair, if you'd turn to 12 your Tab -- Thanks Al. Tab I. The Regional Council charters, 13 they're renewed in even numbered years, so as it states here in 14 the first paragraph, December 2nd, '98, Kodiak/Aleutian's 15 charter will expire. And in the past, prior to me coming on, I 16 know that there was issues brought up by this Council regarding 17 boundary changes. They increased the Regional Council from 18 seven to nine, so there have been some changes made. So if you 19 turn to the second page here to the present Kodiak/Aleutian's 20 charter. And as we've said here before, the charter will be 21 renewed and it expires on December 2nd. So between this 22 meeting and February, you can presently, at this meeting here, 23 we can look at the charter here. And I think, if you want to 24 make any changes, recommendations for changes to the charter, 25 we could do those and -- as well as the February meeting.

26 27

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Mr. Chairman.

28 29

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Vince.

30 31

MR. TUTIAKOFF: I think the only real concern I have is 32 membership criteria, which is not addressed here. I think it's 33 addressed under the by-laws. And we're going to discuss this 34 as the next item or something on the agenda on the one 35 particular member. But the membership criteria, as I 36 understand it, to be a member of the Regional Council is to 37 reside within the region of that unit that the Council 38 represents.

39 40

We have a situation that may come up, not only this 41 time, but in the future, that for economic and for other 42 reasons, an individual who is on this Council, not knowing 43 three months from now could be displaced from that reason for 44 economic reasons, finding work, having to maybe move to take 45 care of some family member in Anchorage, particularly, for 46 short periods of times, six to seven months. There is no 47 leeway as to when an individual can leave the region, say for a 48 period of six to seven months and be -- under the criteria of 49 the description of residency, they are then out of conformity 50 with the law that states, you know, they have to reside within

5

7 8

17 18

19 20

34 35

50

that region. These individuals still vote, still own homes, and still do fishing, have a seasonal type of employment within their region, yet their temporary place of residence may be 4 Anchorage or Kodiak or whatever, out of our region. And I think that we need to be able to look at each individual case and come together as a group and say, yes.

And we also have to look at how much time's left on the individual. It takes a year to a year and a half to replace an 10 individual on this Council once you remove them. If his time 11 is one year, say from today, he gets replaced -- he's going to 12 be replaced or up for nomination to be replaced, why remove There's no -- I mean you have to consider those things. 13 him? 14 It may not -- I'm talking specifically on this -- on Melvin's 15 case, but it could be anybody here. For some reason or 16 another, have to leave the region.

> CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Whether it be health or other wise??

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Health or otherwise. I mean, you know, 21 if -- and we need to be, not only sympathetic, but be mindful 22 they are still members of the communities where they come from, 23 they're just, for some reason, they're not there for periods of 24 time. Now, in my particular case, you know, I -- in the 25 future, I don't know what the future holds for me, but it may 26 be realistic that I may not be living in the region for a year 27 to a year and a half come December because of economic reasons. 28 But I would really be upset that I would not -- although I'm 29 still going to be traveling back and forth within the region, 30 go to the communities, do other things for another agency, that 31 I'm still going to be a contributor to this process, and I'd be 32 upset if I'd be removed and just be a public member. I think I 33 would want to be part of the Council and continue to do so.

So under this -- what I'm getting to is, under the 36 Council charters, I think we ought to include some kind of 37 membership criteria, and maybe you could come up with 38 something, Taylor or find out what the other regions are doing. 39 I'm sure they're having similar problems. Because most of our 40 people that are on these Councils are people that have -- that 41 they're prominent in their communities and eventually move to 42 other jobs and they take leadership roles. So we need to be 43 aware of that fact and not be just strictly guided by -- those 44 are guidelines in my opinion as to how this Council is made up. 45 We, as a Council, determine those issues and make 46 recommendations, but -- and I'm sure they'll follow our 47 recommendations, whatever they are, because they just initially 48 came out as just guidelines and how a Council is made up and we 49 just followed them.

21 22

23 24

38 39

40 41

48 49

50

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Certainly on that issue, also when we 2 have new members coming in, a lot of times we have old business 3 that's been on the table for a long time and here again, it 4 takes awhile for, sometimes to come up to speed on exactly 5 where, what and why, how the matrix is of any one issue. Here 6 again, I would not know how to address it, but I am looking for 7 a common ground that we might address on this issue, meaning 8 that we have to have a more -- our communities of our region 9 have to have a more meaningful role in the selection of our 10 Council members. I think that's very important. By doing so, 11 we might be able to come to an agreement of a common ground on 12 this issue that will enhance the same problem, whether it be in 13 a different region or not. Certainly, we use places like 14 Anchorage in the business industry as a common ground or place. 15 When I speak of that, we might be the Koniag region, but right 16 now our main office is in Anchorage for business purposes, or 17 so they say. So anyway, that's the thought that goes through 18 my mind by having a more meaningful input in Council members 19 rather than just an appointment, we might be able to find a 20 common ground.

Vince.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: I was reading this charter for Kodiak/Aleutians. And under Item 6, duties of the Council 6.7, 26 we need to provide recommendations on establishment and 27 membership of the Federal local advisory committees. In other words, that's our responsibility on these cases, to determine 29 what is the -- what are the membership requirements. Do we 30 want to be strict and to the letter, then you may start to lose 31 some real members because they have to leave the area for two 32 or three months at a time for hospital or whatever I mentioned 33 earlier. Then they would be, under those guidelines, they'd be 34 off. We have to have some kind of leeway, one way or another 35 and be able to make a decision that is best for the region that 36 we're representing, not only the communities, but the region as 37 a whole.

MR. CRATTY: I feel the same way on that.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: As I understand it right now, the 42 Federal Board does have the authority to remove a Council 43 member. I really have a problem with that, that we work hard 44 to get the best people that can serve the Council and the 45 people the best. That I feel, on a particular case like this, 46 how is it going to effect us if there's an authority to fire 47 somebody, but our responsibility to hire.

MR. GUNDERSON: We don't have it.

```
0209
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Yeah. Here again, where's the common
 ground on it?
3
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Like I mentioned, the Secretary of
5 Interior makes nominations of all of us here, and that process
6 took almost nine months that I remember, just for me. It must
7
  have been my name, it took a whole nine months just for
8 consideration. And that's what will happen when you remove a
9 member, we'll be nine months without a voting person.
10
11
           MS. TRUMBLE: Mr. Chairman.
12
13
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Della.
14
15
          MS. TRUMBLE: I know I just got on here and this is my
16 first meeting. But just sitting in this meeting, it seems like
17 there's a lot of important things coming up here. And I know
18 Melvin's been a part of this for a while. If you start losing
19 one member seven months from now, I think it's -- until seven
20 months from now, how long is your term?
21
22
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: It's up next year.
23
24
           MR. SMITH: It's three years and I've been on here two
25 years.
26
27
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: He's up next year.
28
29
          MS. TRUMBLE: You have one more year?
30
31
          MR. SMITH: Yeah.
32
33
          MR. TUTIAKOFF: Who's the other member that serves one
34 more year?
35
36
           MR. SMITH: One more year.
37
38
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: There's two members up next year, who
39 is it, do you know?
40
41
           MR. EDENSHAW: I think it's Ivan.
42
43
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Oh, Ivan.
44
45
           MR. SMITH: Myself and.....
46
47
           MR. EDENSHAW: Or no, it's Alfred.
48
49
           MR. CRATTY: No, I'm on for three years.
50
```

 MR. EDENSHAW: Okay, it's Ivan.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: You just got on.

MR. EDENSHAW: Then I'm pretty sure it's Ivan.

MR. CRATTY: Yeah, back on.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Reappointed.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: I've got two on mine yet, next year and

12 '99. 

14 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Certainly this is also been a concern 15 addressed by the Council Chairs in trying to find people.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Well, not only that, finding people, 18 but people willing to take the time to come and do these very 19 important things. I mean if all of us are here, we're willing 20 to do it, you know, because we feel it's very important. We 21 may pick an individual or he may submit to be on the Council 22 and not have the interest after six months to deal with these 23 issues. Because they're very technical and if you're not into 24 that kind of thing, then it's not good for you.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: The other thing that we talked about 27 was compensation for the Councils. We feel very intimidated, 28 if you will, for the lack of a better term, here we are giving 29 up our time to work on behalf of the public's interest. I have 30 to state that I don't know, would the Federal employees be here 31 if they weren't on the payroll? I mean I'm just trying to keep 32 -- we might have better attendance and better input, you know, 33 more attendance. It certainly effects all of us, especially 34 sometimes, I mean I'd love to be at home putting up my silvers 35 right now.

So it does effect all of us one way or another. And I 38 think that we have a very good Council that is here 39 representing the best interests of the people without pay. 40 Unfortunately, that's what helps -- makes the ride more 41 pleasant. So these are -- I'm just trying to explain some of 42 the discussion and how it came about.

MR. EDENSHAW: I think, excuse me, Mr. Chair, to keep 45 this confined to the charter. I think on this one portion here 46 of ANILCA, it says, each Regional Advisory Council shall be 47 composed of residents of the region. It explicitly states, 48 residents of the region, and it goes on to give their 49 authority. And Vince mentions changes int he regional charter. 50 I think, you know, just the way you look at the regional

```
0211
   corporations, they have at-large representatives who live in
   Seattle.
3
4
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: People put them in though.
5
6
           MR. EDENSHAW: Yeah. So that's what I'm saying, if you
7
  guys want to do something to your charter, there's an
  opportunity to develop ideas, you may want to do that. I'm not sure how that will fly, but I want to keep the discussion to
8
10 the charter. Melvin -- we'll get to Melvin's case in the
11 agenda further on.
12
13
                       I was just going to ask you, where were we
           MR. SMITH:
14 on....
15
16
           MR. EDENSHAW:
                          But I like....
17
18
           MR. SMITH: .....the agenda?
19
20
           MR. GUNDERSON: Well, I think this is where it has to
21 go....
22
23
           MR. EDENSHAW:
                          .....Vince's idea of possibly, you know,
24 the by-laws and the criteria.
25
26
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: On at a time, please.
27
28
           MR. CRATTY: I make a motion to accept Vince's deal on
29 the charter here, to.....
30
31
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: The membership. She has it better
32 written....
33
34
           MR. CRATTY: Okay.
35
36
           MS. TRUMBLE:
                          I....
37
38
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Because she wrote it down as I said it.
39
40
           MS. TRUMBLE: I think we could amend this to say that
41 in the event that a Council member relocates during their term
42 for economical reasons, the Council member shall be allowed to
43 fulfill their term of whatever the office is -- what is it?
44
45
           MR. TUTIAKOFF:
                            Term.
46
47
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Term.
48
49
           MR. SMITH: Yeah, I think term.
50
```

0212 1 MR. GUNDERSON: I don't know, it's just..... 2 3 MS. TRUMBLE: But I think also in that respect, if this 4 Council member is going to continue to live outside these 5 boundaries, that that should be a part of his being able to be allowed to continue on until his term is fulfilled. He may 7 move back to False Pass in two or three months. 8 9 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Al, is this a motion? 10 11 MR. CRATTY: Um-hum. 12 13 MR. TUTIAKOFF: I'll second it. 14 15 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Okay. Moved and seconded. Under 16 discussion, Robert did you have some input on this? 17 18 MR. TUTIAKOFF: I think the idea of at-large membership 19 that Cliff brought up. I think that's what we're leading to, 20 and maybe you could come back to us with this motion 21 incorporating the at-large type membership with two options, so 22 we can present them and not be totally shot down. 23 MR. CRATTY: No, let's write it the way we want it. 24 25 26 MR. TUTIAKOFF: As part of the -- just as part of that 27 motion that it include at-large type membership. I mean that's 28 where we're leading to, right? 29 30 MR. BRELSFORD: Mr. Chairman.... 31 32 MR. TUTIAKOFF: One member..... 33 34 MR. BRELSFORD: .....I think the input is very helpful 35 and we're at the brainstorming stage here to try and get the 36 basic ideas. I think we do want to give these kind of a 37 technical review. 38 39 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Right. 40 41 MR. BRELSFORD: Cliff is on the mark in saying that 42 it's the statute, it's ANILCA that defines regional residency 43 as a key qualification of members of Regional Councils. ANILCA 44 can't be changed by the Council, it can't be changed by the 45 Secretary of Interior, that's Congressional action only. 46 47 But there's some creative thinking here that I've never 48 heard before. The idea of serving out a current appointment in

49 the result of a relocation for economic purposes, I think we 50 need to run these out and see if there's any latitude to do

that. Maybe come back with some technical comments in the winter meetings when you guys would make your final recommendation regarding the charter at that time.

5

MR. TUTIAKOFF: It says here in the February meeting -that during the winter meeting, the Regional Council will review the Staff work regarding this particular motion.

7 8 9

MR. BRELSFORD: Exactly.

10 11

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Okay.

12 13

MR. BRELSFORD: That would be like the technical review 14 that Cliff and we'll probably involve the solicitor's office, 15 there's a guy named Joe Darnell, who works on these Federal 16 committees. He would be -- I think we would want his legal 17 advice on this one.

18 19

I did want to add one additional bit of input to 20 Vince's point. Temporary absences from a region are not a 21 change in residency. They do not disqualify a member. So 22 things that could be three months, six months, even a years 23 time, we have actually had a member in other region, a chair, 24 in another region who was working temporary construction in 25 Anchorage, and that went on for months and months, I think 26 maybe a year. And the nature of the work -- you know his 27 family stayed at home, his kids were still in school, all those 28 things you say, all the facts taken together meant -- said 29 that's a temporary time away from the permanent place of 30 residency. So we would always try to, you know, be realistic 31 about the purposes of a move and be -- I think you used the 32 terms, sympathetic or understanding -- mindful.

33 34

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Um-hum.

35

MR. BRELSFORD: Certainly that -- there's no desire on 37 the part of the Board, Staff or the Secretary to bump good 38 people out. It's just that the legal says, when there's a 39 change of permanent residency, then you would no longer qualify 40 under current law. So there is -- what I'm saying is there is 41 currently some flexibility along the lines you were discussing 42 initially, Vince. I think these are good ideas, the way Della 43 has laid this out and the prospect of some at-large membership, 44 I think we need to, you know, give it serious consideration and 45 come back to you with some additional information.

46

MS. TRUMBLE: Mr. Chair.

47 48 49

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Thank you, Taylor.

50

MS. TRUMBLE: Also in this -- it's got removal of members. If a Council member, appointed under, paragraph nine, misses two consecutive regularly scheduled meetings, the Chair 4 of the Federal Subsistence Board may recommend the Secretary of Interior with the concurrence of the Secretary of Agriculture 6 remove that individual. Now, if I was to just read this, it means that if I miss two meetings because maybe I had to go to 8 the hospital, maybe I had to do something else, I am to be 9 recommended to be removed, and I think that's very vague.

10 11

5

7

MR. CRATTY: It is.

12 13

MR. TUTIAKOFF: It should be.....

14 15 16

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Yes.

17 18

MR. TUTIAKOFF: ....kind of left up.....

19

MS. TRUMBLE: I think it should be unexcused absences.

20 21

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Right. Correct. And that's what we 22 have discussed at the meetings previously and looked at, 23 unexcused absences, and we've basically defined what an excused 24 was. Unexcused is basically, not letting Staff or Council 25 Chair know a reason for not being able to attend the meeting. 26 Usually simply by addressing that and letting us know in 27 advance, we are very flexible, knowing that there is other 28 responsibilities other than just the Council. But I will 29 certainly agree with you that we would like to see the word, 30 unexcused. That way the Council does have a meaningful role in 31 it, whether they choose to accept it as excused or unexcused.

32 33

One other thing I looked at here, just quickly, is 34 under number eight in the meetings, the Council shall meet at 35 least twice a year at the call of the Council, Council Chair, 36 Federal Subsistence Board Chair or designated Federal official 37 with the advanced approval of the Federal Subsistence Board 38 Chair or the designated Federal officer who shall approve the 39 agenda. Here again, I think this is more directed for Council 40 meetings with the Board, am I reading that wrong?

41 42

Sometimes I -- I'm trying to look at how can we 43 constitute and call a meeting? By the time we get approval, 44 through all these things, well, it's -- we'll probably hit our 45 next cycle meeting anyway, especially with the fisheries. 46 There's going to be a lot more questions coming at us. Here, 47 as I look at it, we basically -- it says, at least twice a 48 year, but I don't see any room, because of the process where we 49 would be able to have anymore.

50

24

25 26

28 29

35 36

37 38

39

42 43

44

50

MR. BRELSFORD: Mr. Chairman, I think the key word is, It says there's several officials who are authorized to go ahead on a meeting -- to give the go ahead for a meeting. You 4 have to be involved in calling a meeting and you need the sign-5 off from one other person. Cliff, is your Federally designated 6 officer as the coordinator and, you know, who the Board Chair is and so on. It's one or the other of those. I think 8 basically the regularly scheduled meetings are known months in 9 advance. We know about the winter and the fall and then the 10 winter meetings. Special meetings have been held fairly 11 frequently by different Councils for special purposes, 12 especially like on overlapping regions. Bristol Bay and Y-K 13 have met to talk about moose in the Togiak drainage, for 14 example. This is actually a legal requirement in that Federal 15 Advisory Committee Act. It has to do with budgets and notice 16 to Congress and all that kind of stuff. So there's not a lot 17 of gives on the fact that meetings have to be authorized. But 18 I think as a matter of practice, it's, in fact, pretty 19 flexible, pretty efficient and the regularly scheduled meetings 20 have gone off, you know, like a drum beat and special meetings 21 have been called and funded for reasonable purposes. 22 think that looks -- the bark's worse than the bite. 23

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Okay.

MR. BRELSFORD: We've been pretty successful, I think, 27 in trying to get the Council's together on key business.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I appreciate you clarifying that 30 because I look at it and Region 3 is compromised mainly of 31 coastal communities that work with the fisheries, and as we 32 know that's going to be another big topic on our agenda. So 33 just wanted to make sure we had the flexibility to address 34 these issues as they come before us.

MS. TRUMBLE: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Please, Della.

40 MS. TRUMBLE: I wonder if we could amend what we did 41 earlier to say, their term for economical or health reasons.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Do we want to stay bound by that?

MR. BRELSFORD: Della, I tried to write down that 46 motion, so maybe you and I -- let me be sure I have exactly the 47 wording you used. I think the key idea, we got it, but let's 48 be sure we have the specific.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Excuse me, I think we did have that

0216 motion on the table and we're under discussion. 3 MR. TUTIAKOFF: We're on discussion of the motion right now, yeah, so you can amend it at any time. She's the maker 5 and if the second agrees, you can amend it, it's a friendly 6 amendment. 7 8 MR. CRATTY: She's the maker of the motion? 9 10 MS. HILE: No, Al is. 11 12 MR. TUTIAKOFF: You're maker of the motion? 13 14 MR. CRATTY: Well, she's making it for me. 15 16 MS. HILE: Al's the maker of the motion, she's just 17 reading it, and you seconded it. 18 19 Okay, I agree with that. MR. TUTIAKOFF: 20 21 MR. BRELSFORD: So friendly amendment. 22 23 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Friendly amendment. 24 25 MS. TRUMBLE: I don't know if that was friendly. 26 27 MR. BRELSFORD: In the even that a Council member 28 relocate for health or economic reasons. 29 30 MS. TRUMBLE: Yeah. 31 32 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Question. 33 34 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Okay, question's been called. 35 those in favor of the motion signify by aye. 36 37 IN UNISON: Aye. 38 39 Those opposed by the same sign. CHAIRMAN OLSEN: 40 41 (No opposing responses) 42 43 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Motion passes. 44 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Mr. Chairman, on the compensation 45 46 issue, I move that the Regional Council Staff continue to -- I 47 know that under the law, U.S. Code 5703 Section 5, we're not 48 allowed to receive compensation right now, but I know there is 49 a movement to have that changed. I think a motion should be 50 from this Council that we do seek compensation of some sort and

```
0217
   that would put us in line with everybody else that wants to do
   something. So I so move.
3
4
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Thank you.
5
6
           MR. CRATTY: Second.
7
8
           MR. SMITH: Second.
9
10
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Moved and seconded.
11
12
           MR. CRATTY: Ouestion.
13
14
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Discussion.
15
16
           MR. BRELSFORD: Who was the second, please?
17
18
           MS. HILE: Al.
19
20
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I hear the question being called for,
21 those in favor signify by aye.
22
23
           IN UNISON: Aye.
24
25
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Those opposed same sign.
26
27
           (No opposing responses)
28
29
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Thank you. That does bring it much
30 easier that we do have it on the books when we continue to.....
31
32
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: We are agreeable to some compensation
33 other than $30 a day or whatever it is.
34
35
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Because that was discussed amongst the
36 Council Chairs, and you're right, it should be brought back to
37 the Regional Council for ratification, I believe.
38
39
           MR. CRATTY: Are we moving on?
40
41
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Yes.
42
43
           MR. CRATTY: To J?
44
45
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I think we.....
46
47
           MR. SMITH: Well, we didn't discuss me yet or did we?
48
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: .....discussed H, right? Are we
49
50 comfortable with H?
```

MR. EDENSHAW: No, I just wanted to -- because there were alternates, we need to discuss that. If you're looking at the bottom portion under the same tab.

4 5

MR. CRATTY: Update on alternates.

7

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: What....

8 9

MR. CRATTY: On the next page.

10 11

MR. EDENSHAW: On the same tab, H.

12 13

MR. SMITH: We're still on H?

14 15

MR. EDENSHAW: No, I'm in I. Tab I.

16 17

MR. CRATTY: Update on alternates to serve on Regional 18 Councils.

19 20

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Okay.

21 22

MR. EDENSHAW: Now, if you look at this table here, 23 each Regional Council -- the other nine regions addressed the 24 issue of, do we need or do we want alternates and our region, 25 Kodiak/Aleutians, they recommended two alternates, one for 26 Kodiak and one for the Aleutian/Pribilof Islands. And the 27 bottom, in that one paragraph, it's stated, any change to the 28 existing membership structure, such as the addition of 29 alternates, would be made through a Board approved change and 30 the existing charters. So here again, the charters will be 31 removed in '98. So the Regional Council charters will be 32 renewed in '98 and these recommendations will be presented to 33 the Board at the time.

34 35

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Here, as it looks, we didn't -- I'm 36 trying to remember the time framing in which we were discussing 37 it. At that time we were also requesting two more members 38 which did not happen until the most recently, within the last 39 year. The other reason was because of distance and weather or 40 being able to attend the meetings. So I don't know if these 41 are issues, but I believe at this time you are requesting is 42 this -- do we still want to take a stand on two alternates 43 recommended; am I correct Cliff?

44 45

MR. EDENSHAW: Um-hum.

46 47

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Sure, Al.

48

49 MR. CRATTY: Yes. I think we should. If I had had one 50 more bad day I wouldn't have made it and Ivan didn't make it.

0219 So you know, you made it though, but I think it'd be good if we had an alternate from Kodiak and an alternate from the 3 Aleutian. 4 5 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Vince was next Melvin. 6 7 MR. TUTIAKOFF: A motion is in order? 8 9 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Um-hum. 10 11 MR. TUTIAKOFF: So moved to have one alternate from 12 Kodiak and one from the Aleutians. 13 14 MR. CRATTY: Second. 15 16 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Moved and seconded. Discussion. 17 Melvin. 18 MR. SMITH: I'd just like to say, I think that's a good 19 20 idea. You know, our area is so vast and it's so spread out, I 21 mean the weather just changes hourly, you know. 22 23 MR. CRATTY: Um-hum. 24 25 MR. SMITH: You know, somebody might not be able to 26 make it or somebody else might be able to make it, so I think 27 it's a good idea that we have alternates from each area. 28 29 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Certainly we have experienced this 30 just this meeting. I mean one of -- Gilda, she was stuck here, 31 and it was just fortunate for us. But Al was -- missed time 32 because of weather, too. Certain, Al. 33 34 MR. CRATTY: Yes. Now, when you do have the 35 alternates, I just want to get something clear. What's -- they 36 are going to come to the meetings also? 37 38 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I would think they would have to be 39 invited each meeting to see if they were even available to find 40 out who was going to be available, either the Council member or 41 the alternate, I don't know quite how to address that. 42 43 MR. CRATTY: I think.... 44 45 MR. EDENSHAW: I think.... 46 47 MR. CRATTY: What does the Chief have to say about 48 this? 49 50 MR. EDENSHAW: First of all, I think, you know, what's

going to go is the Board is going to -- am I correct, Taylor, the Board is going to act on the recommendations from the Regional Councils, as a whole, from the 10 regions, including the -- the nine, plus this one. So at the next Board meeting, I believe, you know, they'll, once we get complete with the 6 other Regional Councils and see what their recommendations are regarding alternates, then that will be pushed forth through 8 the Board and a recommendation or a decision will be made final.

9 10 11

7

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: In other words, it won't be on a 12 region by region basis, you said as a whole?

13 14

MR. TUTIAKOFF: As a whole. They'll vote alternates or 15 no alternates.

16 17

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: There's going to be alternates or 18 there isn't for all regions?

19 20

MR. BRELSFORD: That is the status at present. This --21 if you remember the origins of this, Eastern Interior kind of 22 got out ahead of all the other Councils and they said they 23 wanted nine alternates for nine members, shadow council. And 24 the Board said, wait a second, this is a pretty consequential 25 matter, we want to see what -- you know, we want some 26 consistency among the regions so they put it back before the 27 Councils. This was the tally of results when the individual 28 Councils took it up in 1996. And what you guys have done is to 29 confirm your recommendation last time out. You still see that 30 as the best approach. So the Board would like to see a little 31 more consistency among the 10 regions, there's no doubt about 32 that. If we don't get consistency from the 10 regions, I don't 33 know, I wouldn't predict to exactly what they're response would 34 be. There may be some room for regional flexibility because of 35 differences in regions. I think that's -- you know, Councils 36 can ask for special consideration for their area.

37 38

So the main thing is we'll go forward with your region 39 and kind of see how the chips -- how the chips fall.

40 41

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Certainly I would hope and recommend 42 that the Board really take a good look at this just because of 43 geographics of travel is all or the main reason we feel that we 44 need it.

45 46

MR. CRATTY: Yeah.

47

48 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: It might not be so in a different 49 region. And so I would hope it's not an either or.

```
0221
```

MR. CRATTY: I believe the alternate that comes out of Kodiak should come out of the City of Kodiak because of the -- me and Ivan's situation, living in the villages due to weather.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Thank you.

MR. EDENSHAW: Council, Mr. Chair, you know, as the coordinator, I just want to reiterate communication. You know they send out the travel itineraries and I call to ensure, you know, if you're going to be at the meeting and so when you -- well, let's just hypothetically say, down the road that they lave alternates, well, then I would certainly expect a phone call ahead of time saying, well, I'm not going to be at the meeting so that can be initiated. But I think, like for instance, this last go around I called Ivan numerous times and he never called back. And that's happened -- I've had difficulty getting a hold of Vince until Melvin gave me his phone number out in Adak.

20 MR. TUTIAKOFF: You could have just went to the 21 airport.

MR. EDENSHAW: So on my part, as the coordinator, it's 24 very easy for me to get a hold of Mark. Della, I know how to 25 get a hold of Della easily. Gilda is very easy. It's just 26 about communication and calling me back.

28 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: That is one reason I feel that we 29 addressed unexcused absences.

MR. EDENSHAW: Unexcused absences at the last meeting.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Vince.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Will the coordinator be willing to come 36 up with some money for some phones to make all the.....

MS. TRUMBLE: Cell phones.

MR. EDENSHAW: Send me smoke signals.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Smoke signals.

(Off record comments)

46 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: We had a motion on the table. Is 47 there anymore discussion?

MR. SMITH: No.

0222 1 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Ouestion. 2 3 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Question's been called for. All those 4 in favor of the motion signify by aye. 5 6 IN UNISON: Aye. 7 8 Those opposed same sign. CHAIRMAN OLSEN: 9 10 (No negative responses) 11 12 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Motion passes. I guess the training 13 for the new Council members, a draft booklet for the Council, I 14 believe that's -- do we need anymore discussion? Any questions 15 on that side. 16 17 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Just to read it. 18 19 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Information. 20 21 MR. GUNDERSON: Just read it. 22 23 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Just read it. 24 25 MR. EDENSHAW: Well, I think Della and Paul, you should 26 have received that booklet; correct? 27 28 MR. GUNDERSON: Yeah. 29 30 MR. EDENSHAW: You did receive that? 31 32 MS. TRUMBLE: Yes. 33 MR. EDENSHAW: Okay. That's just -- what I think what 34 35 I'd like for each of -- yesterday I handed out an evaluation 36 sheet. You can go, you know, the other -- I think understand a 37 great deal since -- Melvin was in Anchorage when they put this 38 booklet together and this is a draft and I provided each of you 39 with an evaluation. I would really like all of you to, you 40 know, if you get some time to review the booklet. Melvin and 41 there was another Council member, I forget his name, he was 42 also -- Bill Thomas was in Anchorage and some of the 43 Chairs.... 44 45 MR. SMITH: Other Regional Councils. 46 47 MR. EDENSHAW: And one other gentleman from the Bristol 48 Bay region. I believe he was from Bristol Bay? 49 50 MR. BRELSFORD: Right. Robert Heyano from Bristol Bay.

0223 1 MR. EDENSHAW: Robert Heyano from Bristol Bay region. 2 3 MR. BRELSFORD: Fritz George. 4 5 MR. EDENSHAW: And Fritz George were..... 6 7 MR. TUTIAKOFF: When do you want this back, tomorrow? 8 Next week? By the next meeting date? 10 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Here again, I'd like to say, I also 11 have this smaller handbook and as I look through it I was very 12 -- I liked it, it covered a lot. I appreciate work on this of 13 this magnitude here. But for the general public, layman's 14 terms and short and to the point is more what they're looking 15 for. Certainly not -- nothing against -- I'm just trying to 16 look at what, as we got a draft here and a draft there, what? 17 18 MR. EDENSHAW: The final will be, I believe before the 19 February meeting, correct? 20 21 MR. BRELSFORD: Right. I think that's the rough time 22 frame.... 23 24 MR. EDENSHAW: That's the rough time frame. 25 26 MR. BRELSFORD: .....to be wrapped up by next time, the 27 next round of meetings. 28 29 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: So as I went around and addressed this 30 here, one here, it seemed to me like I was the only one that 31 had a copy of it. 32 33 MR. TUTIAKOFF: I got one. 34 35 MR. GUNDERSON: Well, I've got one. 36 37 MR. SMITH: I got one. 38 39 MR. CRATTY: I got one. 40 41 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: So you do have something to look at 42 and give your opinion on. 43 44 MR. SMITH: Like I said yesterday, we tried to keep it 45 real simple, you know, not all these big government terms or 46 anything. We just, you know -- so like you say, in layman's 47 terms, we wanted it real simple, easy to read, you know, 48 something where somebody's not going to look at it and say, oh, 49 I don't want to read that, too much, you know. Something where 50 everybody could understand.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Are these being sent to the tribal 2 governments or to corporations or to the fish and game advisory -- you got subsistence advisory councils in some of these 4 communities, too, you know.

5 7

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: One other thing I'd like to say, I look at this and it says, Regional Council members. I would 8 like this to -- see this more distributed amongst the public to 9 get more input of possible people wanting to serve on these 10 Councils. To know the responsibilities of the Councils, even 11 from our corporations to our councils, the tribal councils. 12 think it's healthy information.

13 14

Melvin.

15 16

MR. SMITH: We discussed this at -- this same point 17 you're bringing up at the time we were putting this together. 18 And I can't remember, I think we wanted just this for the 19 Council members and then we were going to put something 20 together for the public, I'm not sure though. You might get 21 with the Staff that's working on this.

22 23

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: That was my question. What is the 24 intent?

25 26

MR. SMITH: Yeah. I'm not sure what they decided on.

27 28

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Because I have no problem with the 29 title, but I think it should be not just to Council members, I 30 think this same pamphlet should be distributed as an 31 information by the departments as well.

32 33

MR. SMITH: Well, we were even going to put together a 34 video, a short video to go along with this, but we weren't sure 35 what we wanted the public to see or just the Council members to 36 see. So I think Staff or whoever was putting this together is 37 still working on that.

38 39

MR. TUTIAKOFF: I think you could just add two words in 40 there, for -- it says here, for Regional Council members and 41 you should put, and public membership.

42 43

MR. BRELSFORD: Interested public.

44 45

MR. TUTIAKOFF: That way -- yeah, public membership or 46 interested public. That way you can still use this.....

47

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Or regional membership. Yes, Taylor.

48 49 50

MR. BRELSFORD: Mr. Chairman, in the charters it

actually refers to an operations manual.

3

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Um-hum.

5

7

MR. BRELSFORD: And we're using this -- once this is approved by the Board, it becomes like the bylaws that layout the particulars of meeting procedures and all that stuff. it's, in one hand, tied pretty closely to the Regional Council functions. We have a legal responsibility to have the 10 operations manual or the bylaws, so I think that's the starting 11 point of this one. The value in larger communication is very 12 obvious, and I've actually made a note yesterday that 13 perspective Council members could look through the five or six 14 pages of that yellow booklet and get a pretty good idea of how 15 the Councils work. That's an obvious value. Tom, Mike, the 16 new pilot here was reading through this thing yesterday saying, 17 wow, it's a good overview when you're new to subsistence 18 management as a wildlife biologists or a park ranger. So I 19 think those are -- you know, there's a lot of valuable purposes 20 from it. But I think what we thought was, we don't want to 21 dilute the focus of it by trying to do everything. It should 22 still be primarily focused on serving Regional Council members 23 to do their job well, and then a lot of other people can paddle 24 along and learn from it at the same time.

25 26

The video that Melvin mentioned is a follow-up tool, 27 primarily for the Regional Councils. And there may be some 28 spin-off where it can be used for other publics. But I guess 29 I'd like to take the input that we need wider public 30 communication tools about the Council program, about the 31 subsistence program that this layman's language, question and 32 answer format is helpful and we should either adapt this for 33 public purposes or you know, just find a way to distribute it 34 more broadly. Those are helpful input points that I 35 appreciate.

36 37

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Good. That was my next question, as 38 to what action, if any, you're looking for. I would like to 39 compliment whoever did it, it's a nice job. It really is. 40 certainly brings out a lot of, like I say, the Top 10 questions 41 that people are always asking of, what is it, what do you do, 42 why can't you do this, you know. So within that, I'm saying 43 that I do like it.

44 45

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Do we have approve this booklet, is 46 that what you're saying? Do we have to have a motion or any 47 kind of action? Or is it just.....

48 49

MR. BRELSFORD: Input.

```
0226
1
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN:
                            No.
2
3
           MR. BRELSFORD:
                           From....
4
5
           MR. TUTIAKOFF:
                           Between now and February?
7
           MR. BRELSFORD:
                           Well, I think I would say.....
8
9
                          They'd probably like to.....
           MR. EDENSHAW:
10
11
           MR. BRELSFORD: .....December 1st so that we can have
12 it.
13
14
           MR. TUTIAKOFF:
                           Okay.
15
16
           MR. BRELSFORD:
                           This is going to be sent out to a
17 printer so we need some production time after the first of the
18 year. I would say December 1st is probably a good deadline.
19
20
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Okay.
21
22
           MR. CRATTY: For this one?
23
24
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yes.
25
           MR. EDENSHAW: If you ever meet Louisa Wofling, she is
26
27 the lady who drafted -- who put this together and presently
28 Terry Edwards in our office is going to continue to follow up
29 to the final draft or final edition of this manual. Taylor was
30 able to bring her on for two months to get this together.
31
32
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Let's move on, Mr. Chairman.
33
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Moving on, thank you. Regulatory year
34
35 schedule.
36
37
                       We skipped over me?
           MR. SMITH:
38
39
           MR. EDENSHAW:
                          I asked Robert....
40
41
           MR. SMITH: Or did we talk about me already?
42
43
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: We already did you.
44
45
           MR. EDENSHAW: His would be short and sweet.
46
47
           MR. SMITH: I was going to say something, but that's
48 okay.
49
50
           MR. WILLIS: I'll just say a few words about that, it's
```

Item J -- under Item J in your booklet. And the reason that we're proposing to move the Council meetings a month later is because we run into serious problems with some of the other regions that have a heavy load of proposals every year. In that there isn't sufficient time to do an analysis between early November when we get the last of these proposals in and the first of January when we have to start submitting them for review in order to have time to print up the books that go to the Council members for their meetings.

10

11 What happens is is hunting seasons are still going on, 12 so we don't have harvest data. Survey data that was collected 13 earlier has not yet been put together and it's not available 14 from the State or the refuges or the parks. All the field 15 people that we need to contact with to evaluate these proposals 16 are gone outside on vacation over the holidays during 17 Thanksgiving and Christmas, and as a result we're seriously 18 short of information to put together the Council books and to 19 do analysis for the Council books. This information, we 20 continue to get it during January, but by then the Council 21 books are already printed and on their way. We come to the 22 Council meeting and make a presentation based on the 23 information that we had when we put the books together. A lot 24 of times, by the time we get to the Board meeting in April, 25 then we've got all the harvest data, we've got the survey data, 26 we've contacted all the field people and we wind up with a 27 different presentation to the Board. And a lot of times we'll 28 have information that leads us to a different conclusion for 29 the Board than what we had -- than what the Council acted on, 30 and this has created some serious confrontations between the 31 Board and the Councils in the past, and we're trying to avoid And the way we want to do it is just to have the month 33 of January to put together these analysis, get all this data 34 together so that we can present the same stuff to the Council 35 that the Boards and the Staff Committee are going to get a 36 month later. So that's why we looked at an alternative 37 schedule. And you have a couple of calendar pages in this book 38 also indicating the current window for winter Council meetings 39 and the proposed window for Council meetings. And actually I 40 would like to slip that window a little bit further than 41 February 16th myself, so that we had all of the month of 42 January to prepare. This is something for you to consider when 43 you're -- Taylor, I guess we need some input pretty quick on 44 that because the Board is considering this right now also and 45 would like to know what the Councils think in order to possibly 46 take some action this year. Taylor, would you like to add 47 something to that?

48

MR. BRELSFORD: No, I think Robert's told you the value that would come from making the proposed change. The impacts

are in kind of bold print in there. That the winter meeting 2 would be February/March and the Board meeting would be the 3 beginning of May. So basically the last stage would be shifted 4 back a month. And I think we're pretty strongly convinced of 5 the value of doing this and we'd like your sign off, basically. If there are deal breakers in a bunch of regions we need to 7 hear that now, but we think there's pretty good justification, 8 pretty good benefit to Council's good decision making to try 9 and move ahead on that. So I think we'd like your concurrence 10 in that alternate schedule, the one Robert showed that would 11 put most of the meetings in March -- well, February, March --12 mid-February to March and have the Board meeting a month later. 13 This current one would still provide for the seasonal year, the 14 regulatory year would still start on July 1. Where we're 15 picking up this extra month is by squeezing some efficiency out 16 of the steps in Washington after the Board meeting. We want to 17 run that a little more quickly at that stage in order to have 18 some better payoffs with the Councils earlier in the year.

19 20

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Certainly, you know, that this has 21 been addressed by many of the different Regional Councils and 22 always has been a topic. Certainly, when I look at our Region 23 May meetings and the April meetings are at a time when we are 24 gaining momentum for the upcoming year, which to a lot of us, 25 that is a big part of our financial year, too. Whether it's 26 preparing for longlining, whether it's herring or whether it's 27 salmon. At this point here, since we've had some change in the 28 Council it's not as harsh on our Council now as we've got new 29 membership. But here again, I think, the only thing I see is 30 the beginning of May, that is still in a transition period. If 31 we had it more towards the end of May then we are basically 32 coastal communities in between seasons.

33 34

MR. CRATTY: What are you talking, Mark, not for the 35 Councils, you're talking for the Board?

36 37

MR. TUTIAKOFF: For the Board.

38 39

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Right, Board meeting.

40 41

MR. CRATTY: Okay.

42

MR. BRELSFORD: Chairman will go to the Board meeting.
44 I guess I feel I need to be a little forthright, I doubt if we
45 can have a Board meeting as late as the end of May and still
46 get all the regs out, published and all that stuff by June
47 30th. I think we've squeezed this about as far back with the
48 July 1 start date as is possible. I mean it's input we can
49 carry forward, but I don't think that's going to happen Mark.
50 Like Al was just saying, the May deal effects the chairs who

come in for the Board meeting. The part of this that would effect all of the Council members would be the February, March winter meetings instead of January, February.

5

7

8

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: And I would just like to address this from my immediate point of view. It is bad timing for me so I would depend on the vice chair for -- I can almost for certain say that I'm not ready to give up the herring industry yet for 9 one meeting. Vince.

10 11

MR. TUTIAKOFF: We're asking for setting the dates with 12 the current window for the winter meeting and the alternate 13 dates for the winter meeting, right, right now? Just to get 14 for discussion out on the floor, February 26th and 27th for the 15 current Council meeting window and for alternate Council 16 meeting window would be March 12th and March 13th as an 17 alternate.

18 19

MR. SMITH: So what was the numbers, Vince?

20 21

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Um?

22 23

MR. SMITH: What was the second number?

24 25

MR. TUTIAKOFF: March 12th and 13th for the alternate 26 Council meeting dates. And for the current Council meeting 27 window, February 26th and 27th.

28 29

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Is the plane coming in early there, 30 Robert?

31 32

MR. WILLIS: I'm going to go check out and come back so 33 we're not all standing there around that one little checkout 34 line.

35 36

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Do you know something we don't know?

37 38

(Off record comments)

39 40

MR. BRELSFORD: Mr. Chairman, there was one other 41 influence on scheduling and that was, we were hoping to get 42 some of the regions that have a big load of proposals, it's the 43 last paragraph on that page. Several regions have more 44 proposals -- they have proposals with a lot of complexity; 45 Southeast, Southcentral, Bristol Bay and Eastern Interior. 46 were hoping to have those at the end of the window and so try 47 and have the other Councils meet early in the window. And it 48 looks like your proposed dates, Vince, are kind of late in the 49 windows. Is there a way to move you guys towards the beginning 50 of the window as possible.

```
0230
1
           MR. TUTIAKOFF:
                           Well....
2
3
           MR. BRELSFORD: Like the February 26th, 27 would be a
   great date under the alternate window, for example that would
5
   be in the first part. Your initial dates, February 26 and 27
6
   would be very helpful under the alternate Council schedule.
7
8
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Okay, I'm willing to move that one, the
9
   alternate.
10
11
           MR. CRATTY:
                        Then what will be the permanent dates?
12
13
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: I have a problem with the scheduling
14 because.....
15
16
           MR. CRATTY:
                        The 16th and 17th?
17
18
           MR. TUTIAKOFF:
                           The 16th through -- that week of the
19 16th is my Board meeting, Aleut Corporation. We usually have
20 the Board meeting and training session there in that.....
21
22
           MR. BRELSFORD:
                           No, the second week's perfect -- it
23 would be a big help.
24
25
           MR. TUTIAKOFF:
                           So I could go up to the.....
26
27
           MR. SMITH:
                       9th, 10th?
28
29
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Is that.....
30
31
           MR. SMITH:
                      What 5th and 6th?
32
33
                           5th and 6th....
           MR. TUTIAKOFF:
34
35
           MR. CRATTY: Of March?
36
37
           MR. TUTIAKOFF:
                           February.
38
39
           MS. TRUMBLE:
                         February.
40
41
           MR. TUTIAKOFF:
                           That will be fine with me.
42
43
                        That would be the date for the next
           MR. CRATTY:
44 meeting?
45
46
           MR. TUTIAKOFF:
                          For the current Council, yeah.
47
48
           MR. EDENSHAW: Excuse me, Vince.
49
50
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yeah.
```

```
MR. EDENSHAW: It looks like we're getting into two
  different things here. What we're asking is the Regional
  Council to thumbs up or down about a change in the regulatory
  cycle. What I'm hearing you say are you're stating dates for the next Regional Council meeting, what we're talking about is
5
  a permanent change in the cycle. That from here on out that
7
  the meetings will be held during the alternate schedule, which
8
  would be -- the Board meetings would be 5/4 through 5/8.
9
10
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Okay, I misunderstood.
11
12
           MR. EDENSHAW:
                           Okay.
13
14
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: I thought you wanted dates.
15
16
           MR. EDENSHAW: So that's what we need to clear up.
17
18
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Okay.
19
20
           MR. EDENSHAW: And then the place and time of next
21 meeting, that can be.....
22
23
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: I move to approve the meeting change if
24 it happens, the 30 day change then. That's all we need?
25
26
           MR. EDENSHAW: Correct.
27
28
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: It won't happen by the motion, but
29 gives Staff an opportunity to make those changes to the
30 regulatory change; is that right?
31
32
           MR. EDENSHAW:
                           Um-hum.
33
34
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Okay, I move.
35
36
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Moved and seconded.
37
38
           MR. BRELSFORD: Al seconds?
39
40
           MR. CRATTY: No.
41
42
           MS. HILE: Melvin.
43
44
           MR. EDENSHAW: Melvin.
45
46
           MR. CRATTY: Question.
47
48
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Question. All those in favor.
49
50
           IN UNISON: Aye.
```

```
0232
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Those opposed.
1
2
3
           (No opposing responses)
4
5
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Sorry, I thought they were asking for
6
 dates the way Robert was talking.
7
8
           MR. EDENSHAW: Well, that's....
9
10
           MS. TRUMBLE: That's next.
11
12
           MR. CRATTY: You figured it out now, eh.
13
14
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Okay, I move the next meeting be
15 February 5th and 6th in Anchorage.
16
17
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: February 5th and 6th, Fur Rondevous is
18 going then?
19
20
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: I don't know.
21
22
           MR. SMITH: Well, I think that starts the 12th, doesn't
23 it?
24
25
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: When is Fur Rondevous?
26
27
           MR. SMITH: We should have it the next weekend.
28
29
           MR. CRATTY: Isn't it the 14th?
30
31
           MR. SMITH:
                       It starts the 12th, yeah it's going to
32 start on the 13th.
33
34
           MR. BRELSFORD: I think the races are right around
35 Valentines Day.....
36
37
           MS. TRUMBLE:
                         The 12th.
38
39
           MR. BRELSFORD: ....so it would be the following week
40 for the dog races.
41
42
           MR. TUTIAKOFF:
                           I move the meeting up.
43
44
           MR. CRATTY: Oh, that's okay. The 12th and the 13th.
45
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: That's fine with me.
46
47
48
           MR. SMITH: Yeah, there you go.
49
50
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: They were asking for an earlier date to
```

```
0233
   the window, that's why I was saying.
3
           MR. CRATTY: Well, what's wrong with the 12th and the
4
   13th.
5
6
           MS. TRUMBLE: You said it's fine after the second week,
7
  didn't you?
8
9
                          Yeah, that....
           MR. BRELSFORD:
10
11
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Is the 12th and the 13th okay?
12
13
           MR. BRELSFORD: I think it's workable.
14
15
           MR. GUNDERSON: When is the State Board of Fishery
16 meeting?
17
18
           MS. TRUMBLE:
                         January, I think.
19
20
           MR. SMITH: That's January 16th.
21
22
           MS. TRUMBLE: Yeah.
23
           MR. GUNDERSON: Oh, is it? I thought it was the one in
24
25 Dillingham earlier.
26
27
           (Off record comments)
28
29
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Okay. Are we in agreement on what
30 date then? So far I've heard the 12th and 13th or the 5th and
31 6th. Where do we want to identify it?
32
33
           MR. CRATTY: I think the 12th and 13th.
34
35
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: I'm fine with the 12th and 13th, I just
36 need a date.
37
38
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I heard a proposal 12th and 13th.
39
40
           MR. CRATTY:
                        In Anchorage.
41
42
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Is there any objection?
43
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: In Anchorage, that's part of the
44
45 motion.
46
47
           MR. CRATTY: I second.
48
49
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: This needs motion action?
50
```

```
0234
           MR. BRELSFORD: No.
1
2
3
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: No action. I just want to make sure
4
  that we all agree.
5
6
           MR. TUTIAKOFF:
                           It's unanimous.
7
8
           MR. BRELSFORD: And then on that second window, Vince,
   you were looking at the 26th and 27th of February?
9
10
11
           MR. CRATTY: Yes.
12
13
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yes.
14
15
16
           MR. BRELSFORD: Also Anchorage?
17
18
           MR. CRATTY: Um-hum.
19
20
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Wow, this is two weeks later. I mean
21 it's not going to happen but that's fine.
22
23
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: You're talking alternate meeting?
24
25
           MS. MASON: Yeah.
26
27
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: The alternate date would be the
28 26th....
29
30
           MR. CRATTY: How did we -- excuse me, Mr. Chair.
31 did we skip Regional Council proposals?
32
33
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: We haven't yet.
34
35
           MS. MASON: We haven't done them yet.
36
37
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: We got a flexible schedule.
38
39
           MR. EDENSHAW:
                           We're taking the easy stuff first.
40
41
           MR. CRATTY: We're way down at the bottom.
42
43
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: We're bouncing around. It's Chief
44 Evenclaw's doing.
45
46
           MR. BRELSFORD:
                           So the 26, 27 is the alternate schedule
47 date?
48
49
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: That was recommended.
50
```

0235 1 MR. BRELSFORD: That concludes that item, Mr. Chair. 2 3 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Thank you, that's what I thought. would like to take a moment and backup. I guess we did cover 4 5 Melvin Smith and his..... 6 7 MR. CRATTY: 8 9 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: .....issue? 10 11 MS. TRUMBLE: It's more like we're hopping around. 12 13 MR. SMITH: Yeah, I quess. 14 15 MR. CRATTY: We covered everything. 16 17 I was going to say something, but that's MR. SMITH: 18 okay, I'm not going to. 19 20 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: No, we'd.... 21 22 MR. CRATTY: No. 23 24 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: .....like to hear from you. 25 26 MR. SMITH: Okay. Well, as everybody knows I'm working 27 in Anchorage now for the Aleut Corporation, you know, and I'm 28 no longer in this region. But I feel I'm still representing 29 this region with the work I do, you know, and I'd like the 30 opportunity to fulfill my term anyway, you know. And I'd like 31 to say whether I remain on the Board or not or if I'm removed, 32 I'm still going to be representing my region, you know, in 33 subsistence or fisheries or whatever it is. But that's all I 34 wanted to say about my membership. 35 36 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Well, thank you. I think that you 37 seen by the action today that the Council has definitely taken 38 this very serious and we, at the Council, see no justification 39 or reason other than as it is spelled out in Title VIII. And 40 certainly I think we have set forth something to helpfully help 41 that issue out. But absolutely appreciate your willingness to 42 continue. 43 44 I guess we do need to look at the proposals. One was, 45 I think, was the brown bear of Unit 9(D). Where are we at with 46 that? 47

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Vince.

48

```
0236
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: I'd like to make a motion to institute
  a brown bear C&T in Unit 9(D), to include all communities of
3
  Unit 9(D).
4
5
           MS. MASON: What about 10?
7
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: And 10?
8
9
           MS. MASON: Ten was also Unimak Island in the request.
10
11
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: Okay. Whatever is within our,
12 Kodiak/Aleutians is what I was trying to address. Yesterday
13 was specific to 9(D) and I didn't hear anything on 10.
14
15
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Right. We already do have that in
16 Unit 8.
17
18
           MS. TRUMBLE:
                         They mentioned something about it.
19
20
           MR. TUTIAKOFF: They did, okay, so I'll -- you know,
21 I'm agreeable to 9(D) and 10 as part of the.....
22
23
           MR. CRATTY: I'll second.
24
25
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Moved and seconded. Discussion.
26
27
          MR. TUTIAKOFF: I know that we wanted response from the
28 communities in writing or some sort of commentary for the use
29 of the brown bear as a C&T. I know that those individuals are
30 not here now, they were here earlier, that wanted to speak to
31 this. I don't know if they've gone, went hunting or whatever,
32 but....
33
34
          CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Should we wait until after lunch
35 to....
36
37
           MR. SMITH:
                      No.
38
39
          MS. TRUMBLE: No.
40
41
          MR. CRATTY: I think if we could have Della, maybe
42 submit letters or something.
43
44
          MS. TRUMBLE:
                        Yeah.
45
46
          MR. TUTIAKOFF: Get the word to the communities and
47 Paul.
48
49
          MS. TRUMBLE: Okay.
50
```

0237 MR. TUTIAKOFF: But the object of this motion is to institute it, get it moving, start to process so that we can present it, say at our next fall meeting. 5 Question. 6 7 MR. BRELSFORD: Well, a point of clarification. Proposals submitted now would normally come back to you with a 8 9 Staff analysis in the winter meeting. 10 11 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Right. 12 13 MR. BRELSFORD: And then go before the Board in spring 14 of '98. 15 16 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yeah, that's what I was mentioning. 17 18 MR. BRELSFORD: Okay, so it could be effective next 19 year. 20 21 MR. TUTIAKOFF: So it's backwards, right. 22 23 MR. BRELSFORD: Okay. 24 25 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Come into effect next year. 26 27 MS. TRUMBLE: And the timing next year would be good, 28 too, anyways, a spring hunt. 29 30 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Question's been called. 31 favor signify aye. 32 33 IN UNISON: Aye. 34 35 Those opposed. CHAIRMAN OLSEN: 36 37 (No opposing responses) 38 39 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Motion passes. 40 41 MR. TUTIAKOFF: There's another one on the -- we tabled 42 the other issue? 43 44 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Beaver? 45 46 MS. TRUMBLE: Beaver. 47 48 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Beaver. 49 50 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: But I think for a different reason.

0238 1 Al.

5

3

MR. CRATTY: Mr. Chair, yes, you had made a motion to 4 have a subsistence deer hunt in Unit 8, from January 1st to January 31st?

6 7

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Yes. That was a consideration I was 8 hoping that we might be able to extend our current season, that 9 normally ends December 31st to January 31st. Because at that 10 time -- last winter we had rain, rain, rain, rain.

11 12

MR. CRATTY: Um-hum.

13 14

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: And come January, our hunting season -15 - I mean those that went out deer hunting came back totally 16 disappointed and empty handed for all general purposes because 17 the deer just don't get up an move in that hard of rainstorms. 18 But January came out as good weather, and once again, the 19 species are not in jeopardy by any means. And this is kind of 20 a system that the State used to do in the previous years when 21 things like this happened. They would extend it a lot of times 22 through January.

23 24

Certainly this last time we had this extension it kind 25 of bit us hard and I don't want to set that as another 26 situation to comprise what we're trying to achieve. So with 27 that, I would like to propose it.

28 29

MR. STOVALL: This is Robert from Kodiak National 30 Wildlife Refuge. For clarification, this is a -- I'm going on 31 the assumption that this is a proposal that's being -- that 32 would be brought to the Federal Subsistence Board through their 33 normal regulatory cycle, which would mean that the actual 34 implementation of it would not happen until January of 1999. 35 It wouldn't happen this year in other words.

36 37

MR. CRATTY: How do we go about having it happen this 38 year? I think like Mark's saying, it's a good idea.

39 40

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Special action?

41 42

MR. SMITH: Special action.

43 44

MR. CRATTY: There are people out in the villages that 45 can't get out to get their deer if the weather's bad in 46 December, they rely on January, you know.

47

48 MR. STOVALL: This is where I have to ask Taylor, I 49 guess. If there's a special action and that action -- and the 50 Board approved that and it happens, is there still -- there's a

```
0239
  desire, I guess.....
3
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Continue.
4
5
           MR. STOVALL: .....among the Council to have it going
6
  on every year, so they're still going to have to have a
7
  proposal for that....
8
9
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN:
                            Sure.
10
11
           MS. MASON: Yeah.
12
13
           MR. STOVALL: .....for every year. Plus they would
14 have to have....
15
16
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: But in the mean....
17
18
           MS. MASON: Regular.
19
20
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: .....time we could have special.....
21
22
           MR. STOVALL: .....a special -- okay.
23
24
           MR. CRATTY: Yes. We'd like to have a special action
25 on it.
26
27
           MR. STOVALL: Okay.
28
29
          MR. CRATTY: So do we have to submit a proposal for
30 special action or how does that.....
31
32
           MR. STOVALL: I would do both. Submit a special action
33 and submit....
34
35
          MR. TUTIAKOFF: Propose two seasons.
36
37
           MR. STOVALL: ....a proposal for a season from now on.
38
39
           CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Right. Season change, right.
40
41
          MR. STOVALL: I guess the Board would -- I don't know
42 when the Board would act on the special request, hopefully
43 sooner. And my suggestion for everyone here, the Council
44 members, especially those in Kodiak, is that you go out and let
45 it be known publicly that this is what you want to do so that
46 there's no guess work and you don't have a lot of irate people
47 in January.
48
49
           MR. CRATTY: Well, I don't think we're going to have
50 the problem in January because the State season used to run
```

0240 into January 31st. The main reason there was a problem with the last one because it was March. 3 4 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: It didn't go through the process. 5 6 MR. CRATTY: Yeah. I mean people realize that a season 7 could happen in January. 8 MR. STOVALL: Yeah, I understand that. All I would say 10 is that if I had -- if I was a member, I would probably make 11 sure that I'd approach the Fish and Game Councils..... 12 13 MR. CRATTY: Tribal. 14 15 MR. STOVALL: .....all tribal -- I would let all of the 16 people know that this is what this Council has decided to try 17 to do for this year and from now on. It's just something that 18 you're going to be doing anyway, I'm sure. 19 20 MR. CRATTY: So as me as sitting on the Fish and Game 21 Advisory Council..... 22 23 MR. STOVALL: I would let them know. 24 25 MR. CRATTY: .....you think I should let them know? 26 27 MR. STOVALL: Um-hum. So that they can, you know, 28 their constituent base is aware of this change that's going to 29 happen or could happen. 30 31 MR. GUNDERSON: Couldn't that be handled through 32 management on an emergency order? 33 34 MR. CRATTY: Could our Chief there just send them a 35 letter? 36 37 MR. EDENSHAW: Al, let me clear this up first. Mark is 38 requesting that a one month extension of the deer season 39 through January 31st. So in the form..... 40 41 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: That would be ..... 42 43 MR. EDENSHAW: ....of a motion, then that will set it 44 off for a special action. If you want to have it done this 45 year then we'll address that in terms of a special action 46 request which will come up from the Regional Advisory Council. 47 So I'll work with Mark to draft up a letter and he'll sign off 48 it and it will get pushed through.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: But continuously be worked on for a

0241 change of season? 3 MR. EDENSHAW: Correct. 4 5 MR. CRATTY: Yes. And then you could send it to the 6 Fish and Game Advisory Council Chair, to the..... 7 8 MR. EDENSHAW: Well, that really wouldn't have anything to do -- because that's only on Federal public -- we're only on 10 Federal public lands. 11 12 MR. CRATTY: Well, they're the ones that raised heck 13 when we had the last one. 14 15 MR. EDENSHAW: But they would have an opportunity..... 16 17 MR. CRATTY: So it'd be good to have them to know the 18 knowledge. 19 20 MR. EDENSHAW: But they would have an opportunity to 21 comment during the regular process when the proposal is being 22 submitted and addressed by the Board. The State would have 23 their opportunity to address that then. 24 25 MR. CRATTY: I don't think there would be a problem 26 because the State used to run the season into January 31st. 27 28 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I think we'll hold that meeting in 29 Unalaska then. 30 31 MR. SMITH: Adak. 32 33 MR. TUTIAKOFF: There you go. So is there a motion on 34 the floor to that effect? 35 36 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I was.... 37 38 MR. CRATTY: Yes. 39 40 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: .....entertaining a motion. 41 42 MR. TUTIAKOFF: We do? 43 44 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: And I believe that was..... 45 46 MR. TUTIAKOFF: I'll second it. 47 48 MR. CRATTY: I'll make a motion. 49 50 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Moved and seconded on the motion

0242 1 to.... 3 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Extend one month. 4 5 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: .....extend a special action request 6 for this year and to simultaneously put in for a change of 7 season.... 8 9 MR. SMITH: In regulation. 10 11 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: .....in regulation. 12 13 MR. CRATTY: Just as long as it doesn't effect the deer 14 population. If the deers are..... 15 16 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: At this point it hasn't. 17 18 MR. CRATTY: Yeah. 19 20 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: So moved and seconded. 21 22 MR. SMITH: Question. 23 24 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Anymore discussion? The question's 25 been called. Those in favor signify by aye. 26 27 IN UNISON: Aye. 28 29 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Those opposed by the same sign. 30 (No opposing responses) 31 32 33 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Passed. Okay. We have, I think, 34 pretty much come to establish the next place of meeting which 35 we have done. Public comments, do we have any public comments? 36 37 MR. SIEKANIEC: I'll make one. 38 39 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Certainly. 40 41 MR. SIEKANIEC: This is Greg Siekaniec from Izembek 42 Refuge, and I just want to thank the Council for all the time 43 yesterday. And that, you know, we're working in the right 44 direction, you know, we're going to keep working with the 45 caribou and working with the communities to get to where we 46 need to be. So anyway, again, thanks for your time. 47 48 MR. CRATTY: We appreciate your input, too, Greg. 49 50 MR. SMITH: Thank you, Greq.

1 MR. CRATTY: We're learning a lot. 2 3 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Thank you. We take all the attaboys 4 we can get. 5 6 MR. TUTIAKOFF: I don't know if he was here when we 7 made the -- I guess you just walked in, regarding the brown 8 bear C&T? 9 10 MR. SIEKANIEC: Yeah, I heard that. 11 12 MR. TUTIAKOFF: So we'll be working closely with you on 13 that -- the communities will be working closely with you to get 14 information to you. 15 16 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I guess we took that as, also 17 Council/Staff/Agency comments? 18 19 MS. MASON: What, that that represented everybody's 20 comments? 21 22 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Just seeing if everybody's awake. 23 24 MR. SMITH: You said yours already. 25 26 MR. GUNDERSON: He covered everybody's. 27 28 (Off record comments) 29 MS. MASON: Well, I'll make a comment that I just --30 31 it's a pleasure..... 32 33 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Who are you? 34 35 MS. MASON: My name's Rachel Mason, anthropologist with 36 the team. It's a pleasure to work with all of you and I wanted 37 to welcome Della and Paul to the Council. Also to thank Greg 38 for hosting the meeting and for all the help here. 39 40 MR. SIEKANIEC: Who's hosting? 41 42 MS. MASON: For providing us help in setting up the 43 meeting. 44 45 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: And I would just like to make a 46 comment here, stating that number one, it's a -- there was a 47 time when I went to a Board meeting expecting to come home with 48 my resignation in hand. Things were difficult at one time. 49 Not saying that they go undifficult (sic), but certainly having 50 a good Council that is ready to discuss the issues at great

length and to take the time to do so certainly makes the Chair job easier. Certainly that, as you are aware the Chair goes 3 before the Board with the results from our Council meetings. 4 Sometimes that can take a beating within itself, too. But it 5 certainly does make the Chair job much easier. I really 6 appreciate your continued support as reelecting me as your 7 Chair. But I certainly want to encourage that anything that 8 you might have before you, whether you feel it's good or bad, I 9 want to hear it and work with you on it. And I also hope that 10 in the future somebody else will look at wanting to be 11 considered in the position.

12 13

Thank you.

14 15

MR. BRELSFORD: Mr. Chair.

16 17

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Yes.

18 19

MR. BRELSFORD: Since it hasn't been said yet, I'd like 20 to be sure that we express our appreciation for Cliff's work. 21 I sort of feel like Cliff's really hit is stride in setting up 22 meetings and getting facilities put together and materials and 23 equipment and all of that. This was really pretty smooth 24 folks, and seven out of nine members, some pretty contentious 25 difficult issues, quite a bit of material to bring into the 26 discussion. I want to express my appreciation, personally, and 27 I think I speak for all of us in saying, I think Cliff did a 28 really terrific job for us on this. And congratulations to the 29 officers that returned to office or joining us in office. 30 think the Council, as a whole, is really maturing in terms of 31 its leadership on difficult resource issues and problem 32 solving, looking ahead to the future. I think it was a very 33 successful meeting and I'm really glad I was able to join you.

34 35

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: So would it be appropriate for us just 36 to say, hail to the Chief.

37 38

MR. CRATTY: Chief Evenclaw.

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: Vince.

39 40 41

42

MR. TUTIAKOFF: I know that from my presence on this 43 Board in the last year it's been difficult for the Board to 44 understand some of the situations that I was not available for 45 two meetings. And I tried to make contact with the Chair and 46 at the time Cliff was new to the position that he holds, being 47 out at Adak, you can't just jump on a plane and fly into 48 Anchorage and try to find you guys.

49 50

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: It's the only thing to do.

0245 MR. TUTIAKOFF: What? 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: It's the only thing you can do in Adak. 5 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yeah, it's the only thing you can do, 7 yeah. But appreciate the Council's being aware of my other positions that I have in the region. I'll strive to attend all 9 the meetings as much as I can. And now that they know my phone 10 number, I'm sure they'll contact me out there, and I'll get a 11 mailing address some day. 12 13 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: And certainly, Vince, I want to say 14 that you do bring important information to the meetings. The 15 letters that were sent out, I feel the responsibility to do so, 16 so that we don't get lackadaisical people representing without 17 notifications. We want to keep this together. It's the only 18 way we're going to be successful is to prove that we do take 19 this very serious. So it was nothing personal. 20 21 MR. GUNDERSON: I don't understand. 22 23 CHAIRMAN OLSEN: I certainly understand that. And we 24 hope to see you to continue to bring this useful information 25 and contributions to the Council. 26 27 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Move to adjourn. 28 29

MR. SMITH: Second.

30 31

32 33

34

CHAIRMAN OLSEN: We're gone.

(END OF PROCEEDINGS)

## CERTIFICATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )
) ss.
STATE OF ALASKA )

I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska and Reporter for R&R Court Reporters, Inc., do hereby certify:

THAT the foregoing pages numbered 02 through 245 contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the <u>Kodiak/Aleutians Subsistence Regional Advisory</u>

<u>Council</u> meeting taken electronically by me on the 25th and 26th and days of September, 1997, beginning at the hour of 9:00 o'clock a.m. at the City Library Room in Cold Bay, Alaska;

THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by me to the best of my knowledge and ability;

THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party interested in any way in this action.

DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, October 3, 1997.

Notary Public in and for Alaska My Commission Expires: 11/5/98